1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
2	HOUSTON DIVISION
3	
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA . 4:22-CR-612
5	VERSUS . HOUSTON, TEXAS
6	EDWARD CONSTANTINESCU, . DECEMBER 18, 2023
7	ET AL, . 10:00 A.M.
8	
9	
LO	TRANSCRIPT OF MOTION HEARING BEFORE THE HONORABLE ANDREW S. HANEN
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
L2	
	APPEARANCES
L3	
L4	FOR THE GOVERNMENT:
L4 L5	Thomas Heyward Carter
14 15 16	Thomas Heyward Carter Assistant United States Attorney 1000 Louisiana
L3 L4 L5 L6 L7	Thomas Heyward Carter Assistant United States Attorney
14 15 16 17	Thomas Heyward Carter Assistant United States Attorney 1000 Louisiana Suite 2300
14 15 16 17 18	Thomas Heyward Carter Assistant United States Attorney 1000 Louisiana Suite 2300
14 15 16 17 18	Thomas Heyward Carter Assistant United States Attorney 1000 Louisiana Suite 2300 Houston, Texas 77002  ALSO FOR THE GOVERNMENT: Scott P. Armstrong
14 15 16 17 18 19	Thomas Heyward Carter Assistant United States Attorney 1000 Louisiana Suite 2300 Houston, Texas 77002  ALSO FOR THE GOVERNMENT: Scott P. Armstrong John J. Liolos Yifei Zheng
14 15 16 17	Thomas Heyward Carter Assistant United States Attorney 1000 Louisiana Suite 2300 Houston, Texas 77002  ALSO FOR THE GOVERNMENT:  Scott P. Armstrong John J. Liolos Yifei Zheng UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 1400 New York Avenue Northwest
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Thomas Heyward Carter Assistant United States Attorney 1000 Louisiana Suite 2300 Houston, Texas 77002  ALSO FOR THE GOVERNMENT: Scott P. Armstrong John J. Liolos Yifei Zheng UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
L4 L5 L6 L7 L8 L9 20 21	Thomas Heyward Carter Assistant United States Attorney 1000 Louisiana Suite 2300 Houston, Texas 77002  ALSO FOR THE GOVERNMENT:  Scott P. Armstrong John J. Liolos Yifei Zheng UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 1400 New York Avenue Northwest

1 APPEARANCES - CONTINUED 2 3 FOR DEFENDANT EDWARD CONSTANTINESCU: 4 Matthew A. Ford FORD O'BRIEN LANDY 5 3700 Ranch Road 620 South Austin, Texas 78738 6 FOR DEFENDANT PERRY PJ MATLOCK: 7 Luis A. Reyes ASHCROFT LAW FIRM 8 919 Congress Avenue Suite 1500 9 Austin, Texas 78701 10 11 FOR DEFENDANT JOHN RYBARCZYK: 12 Quentin Tate Williams 13 HILDER & ASSOCIATES PC 819 Lovett Boulevard 14 Houston, Texas 77006 15 Eric S. Rosen FREEDMAN NORMAND FRIEDLAND LLP 16 225 Franklin Street 17 Twenty-Sixth Floor Boston, Massachusetts 02110 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	APPEARANCES CONTINUED
2	
3	FOR DEFENDANT GARY DEEL:
4	Zachary B. Fertitta
5	FERTITTA LAW FIRM 902 Heights Boulevard Houston, Texas 77008
6	FOR DEFENDANT STEFAN HRVATIN:
7	Carlos Fleites
8	Attorney at Law 407 Lincoln Road
9	Suite 12-3 Miami Beach, Florida 33139
10	
11	FOR DEFENDANT TOM COOPERMAN:
12	Chip B. Lewis Attorney at Law
13	1207 South Shepherd Drive Houston, Texas 77019
14	Sina M. Zadeh
15	SINA ZADEH LAW FIRM 1001 McKinney Street
16	Suite 803 Houston, Texas 77002
17	Erin M. Epley
18	ERIN EPLEY LAW FIRM 1207 South Shepherd Drive
19	Houston, Texas 77019
20	
21	FOR DEFENDANT MITCHELL HENNESSEY:
22	Laura MK Cordova
23	JACKSON WALKER LLP 1401 McKinney Street
24	Suite 1900 Houston, Texas 77010
25	

1	APPEARANCES CONTINUED
2	
3	
4	ALSO FOR DEFENDANT MITCHELL HENNESSEY:
5	Michael James Murtha JACKSON WALKER LLP
6	2323 Ross Avenue Suite 600
7	Dallas, Texas 75201
8	
9	FOR DEFENDANT DANIEL KNIGHT:
10	No appearance
11	
12	OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER:
13	
14	Mayra Malone, CSR, RMR, CRR U.S. Courthouse
15	515 Rusk, Room 8004 Houston, Texas 77002
16	
17	Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography. Transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.
18	produced by computer-aided transcription.
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 **PROCEEDINGS** 2 THE COURT: 22-CR-612, United States versus Edward Constantinescu, et al. 3 This hearing is obviously right now in open 4 It has to do with some discovery measures. 5 10:03 Mr. Williams, do I understand it that you don't 6 7 want it to go forward in open court? 8 And I will let you lead off. 9 MR. WILLIAMS: Judge, we have one issue that -- you're 10 right -- is related to a matter we filed ex parte under seal 10:03 11 and it's been advised to my colleague that you wanted to take 12 up today. 13 THE COURT: The reason I did, and the reason I thought 14 it didn't matter whether it was ex parte or not, is because the 15 government knows all about it. 10:04 MR. WILLIAMS: I don't know that they know about our 16 17 subpoena -- our 17(c) matter because it was filed ex parte 18 under seal. I don't think they know the substance of this request. I believe Mr. Ford's is well-known, which I thought 19 was the main --20 10:04 21 THE COURT: And it may be. 22 MR. WILLIAMS: What he is seeking and what they are seeking to quash is substantively different than what we were 23 looking for. 24 25 THE COURT: Yours may not be the subject of the 10:05

hearing then. 10:05 1 MR. WILLIAMS: 2 I hope not. THE COURT: I don't know what it is. 3 MR. WILLIAMS: Outside the presence of the state -- of 4 the U.S. government, I would be happy to explain it to you. 5 10:05 6 THE COURT: Let me shift over to Mr. Carter. 7 Mr. Carter, I scheduled this hearing because of 8 you, not you personally, but the government. You had an issue 9 with some subpoenas. 10 Who wants to address that? 10:05 11 MR. ARMSTRONG: Yes. Good morning, Your Honor. Scott 12 Armstrong for the United States. My colleague Mr. Liolos will 13 address this issue. 14 THE COURT: Okay. MR. LIOLOS: Good morning, Judge. John Liolos on 15 10:05 behalf of the United States. 16 17 This is the first we have heard about Mr. Rybarczyk's subpoena, so we are just here to address 18 Mr. Constantinescu's subpoenas. 19 20 It came to our attention that they served at 10:05 21 least 11 subpoenas on individuals previously appearing on our 22 witness list, all but one of whom are alleged victim witnesses in this case, and the last one is an ostensible whistleblower. 23 24 The Supreme Court's strict standard in Nixon 25 applies to these trial subpoenas, and on their face, these 10:06

10:06

10:06

10:07

10:07

10:07 25

subpoenas don't satisfy those factors and nothing that the defendant has proffered since satisfies those factors. And it's their burden to satisfy the factors.

Just to give the Court some brief examples of why that is, four of the seven requests seek documents from 2019, which is a year before the charges in this case. That demonstrates that they are overbroad, they are burdensome on these alleged victims, and that they are just a fishing expedition, which is precluded by Nixon. That's the fourth Nixon factor.

Another example of why these are overbroad is they seek entire categories of documents, like the entire export of their Twitter file. That necessarily can't be evidentiary under Nixon, which it is required to be, because there is going to be reams of hearsay in there. There's going to be a ton of things that just can't come in, and there is no evidentiary basis for it. That's the type of request that is routinely precluded under the Nixon factors.

Other examples: One of the Nixon factors is that the material can't be obtainable by reasonable due diligence prior to trial, but a number of these requests can be knocked out with Google searches. For example, they want all of the victim witnesses's other social media accounts. They have made no showing that they have even tried to search the Internet for them. That is required under Nixon.

They want statements that they have made about the defendants or their social media accounts. They have made no showing that they have gone online and tried to find said Moreover, they seek all such statements, not specific ones that they have identified, which even if you look at Nixon, in that case, the parties seeking the information made specific evidentiary showings, put on witnesses that were parties to the cause at issue and established the relevancy and potential admissibility of the content of the calls. requests don't come anywhere near that, and the defendant hasn't met their burden. Furthermore, they claim in their response to have

already received some documents from one party that they have subpoenaed, and they have refused to share that with us, which Rule 17 permits both parties access, if the Court will order it. And we would request that the Court do so.

Unless the Court has specific questions about some of these requests -- and I have the subpoena here -- we can tick through the specific requests, if it is helpful to you, but --

THE COURT: Who wants to respond?

Thank you, Your Honor. Matthew Ford on MR. FORD: behalf of Defendant Edward Constantinescu.

We served these subpoenas on witnesses based on the government's theory of the case. Right? What they are

10:08

10:08

10:07

10:07

11

12 13

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

16

15

17 18

19

20

21

10:08

22

23

24

25

10:09

10:09 10:09 10:09 10:09 10:10

10:10

arguing is my client when onto Twitter and posted something about a stock. This witness read that. Caused them to purchase the stock. My client then sold the stock at some point but didn't alert them to the fact of them selling it, and then this individual supposedly lost money.

So we are seeking really two categories of documents. One is trading records for these witnesses, which we don't have. And two, their social media activity.

Now what we tried to do with the social media requests, there are two sorts: One going to Twitter and one going to Discord. We are not able to obtain this any other way.

If you look at Twitter's website, you need a warrant to get that information. The Stored Communications Act is going to bar us from doing that.

What we tried to do is make it as easy as possible on the witnesses. There's a button -- you can go onto your Twitter login. You click a button. It downloads and archives everything that you have done on Twitter, and then you would submit that to us so we would have all of the tweets that they made about these stocks, any messages or times that they have interacted with the defendants or other individuals about trading stocks, sort of all of this information.

There's other specific information that is difficult to obtain online, such as every time they liked a

10:11

post. So let's say that an individual goes onto his Twitter, sees somebody other than my client, right, saying, Let's, you know, buy this stock, it's going to go to the moon, and they like it. Right? We think we should get access to it. We are going to cross-examine them on that exact fact. We are going to try to prove that there were many people talking about the same stocks.

The reason we can't obtain it for the reasons the government is mentioning, one, people's Twitter accounts are -- can be made private. They either are or can be made private. In that case, we would not be able to access any of the information from Twitter.

Two, they can delete things. So it's entirely possible that in 2021, they had posted something on their Twitter and then chose to delete that. Then we would have no access to it. If we receive the actual file --

THE COURT: If it's deleted, can you recover it?

MR. FORD: Twitter will have it. Because when you send us the archive, it is basically a list of every keystroke that you did. If you liked something and then changed your mind two weeks later and unliked it, it will have both the like and then the subsequent unliking.

As far as any concerns, you know, about privacy, or that sort of thing, there's a protective order in place.

And I can assure you, the government has given us literally

10:11

10:12

10:12 15

10:12

10:12 25 tens of millions of pages. The last thing I need is a pile of more irrelevant documents, and I don't care about witness's communications that have nothing to do with this case.

For us, we were trying to think about what is the easiest way for the witnesses to get us this information, and the reality is if we sent them a targeted subpoena that said, Go through every time you have liked a post over the past two years, read every one and then send us individually every single time a screenshot of when you liked that post, it would potentially take weeks and weeks versus sending the data to us. We have the capacity and the ability, through our document vendor, to sift through and filter and get the stuff.

Obviously, we are willing to work with the government or, more specifically, with the individual witnesses to make sure they are not feeling overburdened. But the ones we have spoken to on the phone, they are not saying what the government is claiming. They do not view this as being overly burdensome, and I think it's really just a matter of a click of a button to get us this information. That's on the social media side.

With regards to the trade records, it's actually outstanding that they are saying we should not have access to these individuals' trading records. If they want to take the stand and get up and say, I read your client's Twitter post and that caused me to buy it, and he didn't disclose it, so I

10:14 25

didn't sell it and I lost money, I would like to see the trade records. That's what is going to corroborate the time that they made the sales.

THE COURT: Let me hear from the government.

Stay right here.

MR. LIOLOS: Your Honor, as to the trading records, they already have the relevant trading records.

The way that we found these individuals is through the SEC blue sheets and seeing that they traded these stocks on the days of the post in question. We have already turned that over with our preliminary discovery. So for each of these, they can see in the tickers and time periods at issue when the individual traded, when they bought, when they sold. And they have produced to us some of them, their underlying trading records more broadly, and we have, of course, turned that over, as well. So the records that they are seeking are all of their trading for tickers and time periods that aren't at issue in this case that can't be relevant or evidentiary. I don't see a viable theory where that can come in.

As to the social media requests, what they are seeking is broader than what we even have for the defendants' social media accounts in this case. They want everything in their Twitter account.

THE COURT: Well, I'm hearing the fight we have in the civil side all the time. I don't hear you saying they don't

10:14

10:14

10:14

10:15

10:15 25

get that information. What I hear you saying is what they asked for is too much.

MR. LIOLOS: What they asked for is way beyond what is relevant and admissible in this case, which is what Nixon requires the request to be. It needs to be specific, and there needs to be a viable theory of evidence. And it's purely speculative. They have to make a showing that they can't get this without due diligence, and they are speculating that these accounts are private.

What they need to do is show that they have tried to google for the account and that they can't get it. That's what Nixon requires.

MR. FORD: Your Honor, if I may. Let me just address the blue sheet issue. We have received some blue sheets for some stock tickers, not all of them. But what blue sheets are, FINRA or the SEC is making a request to a specific firm, a broker dealer, regarding a certain trade at a specific time and a specific stock.

So the fact that the SEC went to TD Ameritrade and asked TD Ameritrade for their trade log for that day doesn't answer the question of whether a witness traded with Etrade, traded with Interactive Brokers or any of the other many, many large firms that people use for broker dealers for their trading. So the blue sheets don't really help us in that regard. They are incomplete.

10:15

10:16

10:16

10:16

10:16

We want these individuals' trade data for all their accounts. Right? Because they could have been trading the same stock in multiple accounts.

As far as obtaining the stuff, what I see is really my -- well, there's the privacy issue. We have looked at the accounts, but there is an authenticity issue that's going to wind up extending trial. Right? Which is if I go to one of these witnesses and say, Hey, look, there is a screenshot that I took of something you supposedly liked off the Internet, they are going to say, I have never seen that. I don't know what that is.

I don't know how to authenticate it, which means we have to wait for our defense presentation. We're going to have to call my paralegal or whoever is going to testify that she took the screenshot, and then we are going to have to go back and coax the witness into admitting that they did that.

THE COURT: Let me stop you both for a minute.

Mr. Williams, did you want to --

MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, Your Honor. I hate to interrupt. That's not true. I like to interrupt.

This raises an issue that actually we will go ahead and deal with in open court because of judicial economy, and it's out there. Our 17(c) request requests trading records -- 26 sets of records from a half dozen companies for the alleged victims. And so the substantive difference is we

10:16

10:17

10:17 15

10:18

10:18

are not going to get them from the victim. We are trying to get them from the third party, the actual custodians, and we're trying to get those records for the relevant time period of the indictment, January 2020 to April 2022.

To the extent Your Honor would like to address that in open court while considering the relevance of their request --

THE COURT: Let me -- this is, to me, something that we should work out -- that y'all should work out, and then I should ultimately approve. I want to see the final work product too, but within these parameters: I think the defendants get this information. I mean, if you are going to put somebody up on the stand that said I got hoodwinked and for the three months prior, they have been trading in this stock before anyone ever posted, I mean, that's relevant.

Now, do I think they should get anything they ever posted on Twitter or, you know, all their Facebook accounts or anything? No. That's not relevant. I mean, these requests need to be tailored to this case and to the witnesses, but I think that's the only way that the defendants can defend themselves. And, I mean, there may not be -- there may be smoke but no fire. I mean, they may take one look at it and go, Oh, yeah, they began trading the day my guy posted. And ultimately it may end up hurting them rather than helping them. But the defendants I think have a right to this material.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

10:18

10:19

10:19

10:20

25

10:20

Having said that, as I just said, but I will repeat myself, these people aren't necessarily involved in this I mean, they are, but they aren't. I would hope they don't have a dog in the fight. They may. I don't know. don't want over-abusive discovery. I don't want fishing expeditions, but I think -- with certain tickers, certain stocks during the relevant time period, I think the defendants get that information.

Now, what I'm suggesting is while you are here, Mr. Ford, Mr. Williams is here, the government is here. Why don't we sit down -- take a break, sit down and look at the actual subpoenas and let's tailor something that fits this case that's not abusive?

Now, I understand your argument that maybe they should try various ways and come in and tell me how they did it. But if Mr. Ford is right -- and I don't hear you saying he's not right. If there's a way -- there are two ways of doing this. One, the victim or the victim representative has to go line by line by line, well, that's crazy. there's a way that you can just press a button and key in and produce this stuff. I will have to tell you I'm not enough of a tech guy to know which is right and which isn't. But why wouldn't we make it -- these are third parties. Why wouldn't we take the easiest route on them, the least burdensome?

Go ahead.

10:20

10:21

10:21

10:21

10:21 25

MR. ARMSTRONG: Your Honor, if I may. It should not be a table stake to participate in this case that you have to produce as an alleged victim every single Twitter message and Twitter post and every single step you took on social media. That's not relevant.

THE COURT: I just said that. I agree with you. I agree with you. But that's why the requests need to be narrowed. But what I'm suggesting is that y'all sit down and collaborate. But I think their comments about the stocks in issue, their trading history of these stocks, I mean, that's fair game.

MR. ARMSTRONG: Your Honor, the problem here is that these subpoenas are a Trojan horse because Mr. Ford is saying he has not received the trade records for the relevant time periods for the episodes in this case. That is just flatout false. I cannot underscore that enough.

rest on a premise that is completely refuted by the discovery in this case. They have their trading records two ways to Sundays. They have it from the blue sheets, which detail every single person who traded in these stocks in the relevant period and their trading account. They also have it for 99 percent of the witnesses, the actual hard copy productions that the witnesses gave to us. So the idea that they don't have the trading records, that we have not produced them and given them

10:22

10:22 10

10:22

10:22

10:23 25

up, is just flatout wrong and false. We have given them twice.

MR. FORD: If I may. I went over the blue sheet issue. Again, what we are talking about is a request from either the FINRA or SEC to a specific firm. We do not -- one, we don't have blue sheets for all the stock tickers they have raised. So there's that. But even if we do, it is incomplete data because it reflects only the trading that occurred to a specific firm. For example, TD Ameritrade that the SEC requested the information from.

Even a request from NASDAQ for a blue sheet, which we don't have, would not reflect all trading because not all trading occurs on the same exchange. Some occurs off exchange. So it doesn't represent it.

As far as what they are talking about, trade records, what we have for many of the witnesses, it appears they took screenshots on their phones of some of the trading they did. They provided them to the FBI, and then that has been produced to us. But what I'm talking about is an export -- and I think Mr. Williams, as well. We're talking about an export of data from all of their broker dealers in which they traded this particular stock symbol that we are seeking. Right? So if they are going to say that my client was responsible for NAKD, you know, causing their trading, I would like to have -- know all of their broker dealers and all of the trading they did. And if not, screenshots that the

witness took of some of the trades that they think will ultimately help them recover restitution, or money in the SEC case. I want to see their full records.

MR. ROSEN: Judge, if I could step in --

THE COURT: Why don't you identify yourself because the court reporter can't see who it is.

MR. ROSEN: Eric Rosen on behalf of Mr. Rybarczyk.

Just very briefly, to weigh in about the blue sheets, I spent a lot of time in that data. The problem is also, there are generally only one or two dates. So you have one or two days of these massive trading histories for tens of thousands of people.

A lot of the witnesses didn't sell right away or didn't buy during that time period, so it's just a small slice of data. Some of these people sold weeks or months later.

Why they bought, why they sold, it is extremely relevant to how we are going to go about proving our case. So the fact that they have given blue sheets for one day -- and by the way, it hasn't been for all the stocks. We are still missing a lot of blue sheet data for many of the tickers at issue, but the fact that there's just one or two days, it's irrelevant.

Also, one other thing, we need to get these people's trading histories, because how they are buying in and out of stocks, not just the ones that are relevant here, but we

10:24

10:24

10:25 15

10:25

10:25 25 are talking -- these people are investing in meme stocks. Day in and day out, stocks that pop, one hundred percent, two hundred percent in one day.

How are they evaluating when to buy and when to sell? Is it: They did this ten times before? Not relying on the defendants here and did the same pattern over and over again? Negating the fact that they were hoodwinked when one of the defendants tweeted something out. For us, that is extremely important.

So we have only gotten one or two stocks for a lot of these people that the stocks were their, quote/unquote, victims. But you start looking through the blue sheet data and looking and seeing some of these other people, they are in and out of these stocks constantly, like normal day traders. Their buying and selling is not dependent on the defendants.

That's why when we served the 17(c) subpoena, we are asking for all of these trading records because they are extraordinarily relevant to how these, quote/unquote, victims are going to be presented at trial.

MR. ARMSTRONG: Your Honor, if I may. The issue here seems to be, at best, at absolute best, that this information is impeachment material. That in and of itself should doom these subpoenas from the jump. So what we are doing is we are asking these individuals who are not sophisticated actors to comply with a ream of requests.

10:25

10:26

10:27

10:26

10:27 25

Now, it may be the middle ground is that they can issue these subpoenas to third parties, to the actual brokerages who have sophisticated means to process and produce this information, but to require an individual who is in the middle of nowhere to have to have the sophistication and the wherewithal to respond to inadmissible subpoenas from the jump is just a step too far.

THE COURT: That's why I suggested we do it the easiest way. I'm not trying to overburden these people. I mean, as I said, they are third parties.

Whether they are mad at the defendants or mad at the government for getting them involved in this or don't care one way or the other, I don't think we ought to overburden them. But here's what I want. I want y'all to sit down while we are here -- I'm going to take a break -- and talk about this. See if y'all can work this out.

If you can't, I will rule. But I think the defendants get the trading records for a period of time, let's say two or three months. I'm just throwing this out. You guys know the facts so much more than I do, but before the indictment and maybe after another month or six weeks afterwards, so a window, and they get that and they get specific -- the trading records and they get specific Internet tweets, whatever, whatever, but specific to this case. I mean, I don't care if they are tweeting their girlfriend or whatever.

That's out.

MR. FORD: We don't either, by the way.

THE COURT: But specific as to these stocks, the indictment stocks, as far as Internet communications, I think -- I mean, they are entitled -- maybe some guy has a tweet that says, Did you see what Mr. Matlock recommended? Only an idiot would buy this stock. They are entitled to that.

MR. FORD: I can do you an even better one. got to their first witness alphabetically. So they have accused with regard to a stock ticker called BBI my client of committing securities fraud for purchasing the stock at 33 cents and claiming it was going to go to 50 cents. This is their first supposed victim witness tweeting on his own, nothing to do with my client, that he believed BBI's strong buy with a price prediction of three to four dollars. And then he tweets -- long after my client was out of the position, he tweets that BBI's strong buy rating with an average price target of 267. So the allegation is that my client defrauded this individual by saying he thought a stock was going to 50 cents, who on his own was tweeting that the stock he thought was going to go to four dollars. And not to be outdone, he tweets on April 21st, so in the week or two that this conspiracy supposedly happened. He says he's definitely holding to 50 cents.

I suspect that when he gets called to the stand

10:27

10:27

8 9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10:28

14

10:28

19

10:28

22

24

23

25

10:29

10:29

10:29

10:30

10:30

10:30 25

and we get those trade records, this individual will have sold his stock before it ever reached 50 cents. So that's what we are looking for. It is how we are going to prove the case.

Frankly, I think it is offensive to the Court that this individual would even be called, as he is engaging in the identical conduct the government is claiming my client engaged in and was wrongful. It is representative of the way everybody was acting on Twitter during this time period, tweeting memes, making claims about prices and so forth.

THE COURT: Mr. Ford, let me suggest that everybody doing it is not a good defense.

MR. ARMSTRONG: Your Honor, also, this is just like patently absurd. This demonstrates that they have information and they can get it with their own reasonable diligence. And so the idea that this information is like hiding somewhere, and we're the cause of that is just beyond ridiculous.

THE COURT: I'm going to allow them to have the subpoenas. I'm allowing you to exercise some narrowing function to narrow it to this case. Because I don't think they ought to get, as I said, extraneous material, but I do think they have a right to see their trading records. If you are going to put them on the stand and say they are victims, they have a right to cross-examine.

MR. ARMSTRONG: Your Honor, I understand your position and I understand what you are saying. But isn't the result of

that that they can cut 17(c) subpoenas to the brokerage houses, 10:30 1 as apparently Mr. Williams did, instead of burdening the 2 individuals with these broad requests? 3 THE COURT: If we can get them from the brokerage 4 houses, I'm fine with that. They can't get from the brokerage 10:30 5 6 houses, you know, their tweets and their participation in 7 social media. MR. LIOLOS: Your Honor, he just demonstrated that he 8 9 is capable of doing that, which is one of the Nixon factors, 10 and admitted that he has only done it with one of the 11 10:31 That fails Nixon. 11 witnesses. 12 THE COURT: Well, maybe y'all can -- need to educate 13 me, but I'm hearing Mr. Ford say -- and I'm not hearing anyone 14 arguing -- that there is an easy way to do this. MR. FORD: We think for both the social media accounts 15 10:31 16 and the trade records, very easy. We actually provided the 17 link, the Twitter link. All they have to do is click a button and download all the data. So that's simple. 18 It depends on what firm they are using, what 19 broker dealer, but we know for all the main ones, TD, IB, 20 10:31 21 Etrade and so forth, TradeZero, the ones people typically use, you just basically log-on and you will click. Your 1099-Bs for 22 the past couple years will come up right away. They are very 23 easily accessible. 24 25 When we say burden, this is stuff I think in 10:31

10:32

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

18 19

10:33

10:33 25

total that witnesses can obtain in under ten minutes.

MR. ARMSTRONG: Your Honor, the standard is not how difficult is it to get. The standard is a three-part test under Nixon, and they are just fishing for reams of information.

I think Your Honor understands our position that these are patently improper.

THE COURT: Broadly, I'm overruling it.

Specifically, I want y'all to sit down and narrow these requests. I'm not giving them every time you have been on the Internet, but I am allowing them every time they talked about one of these stocks within, say, a month before the allegations began and a month after.

MR. FORD: Understood. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Let's get Mr. Williams involved and let's get the input from everybody so we can come up with one set. I don't want to burden these people with multiple sets either.

If you think -- and I'm thinking down the road here. I mean, it may be better to get at least the trading records from the brokerage houses, not only because it doesn't burden the individuals but because we can get them in admissible form and we don't have to worry about somebody trying to, you know, get up and prove up: Is this all of them? Well, I don't know. We can get them in admissible form from the brokerage houses, but let's go ahead and get them now.

We know we are looking at a spring trial setting 10:33 1 2 that I'm going to hold y'all to, but let's be reasonable in the request part. Let's be -- and I understand the government's 3 overall objection. I'm overruling that objection. 4 One thing I am granting the government is they 5 10:34 6 get a copy of everything. 7 I think, you know -- I know I come from the civil 8 side of the street, but we all go to trial knowing what each 9 I'm not against a good surprise, but for the most 10 part, both sides ought to know the trading records. There's no 10:34 11 surprises there. They either traded or they didn't trade. 12 time either fits the government's scenario or it doesn't. 13 Why don't y'all take 20 minutes, just sit around 14 the table, and, Mr. Williams, I think you and Mr. Rosen ought to be involved in this too. 15 10:35 MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, Your Honor. I'm forwarding the 16 government copies of these. 17 THE COURT: And let's get this put to bed so we can 18 get the process rolling here. 19 All right. It's 10:35 now. I'm going to come 20 10:35 21 back in at 11:00 and see how you are doing. 22 If you get done, let Rhonda know. 23 MR. ARMSTRONG: Thank you, Your Honor. 24 MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you, Judge. 25 (Court recessed at 10:35 AM) 10:35

11:11 1 (Court resumed at 11:11 AM)

11:14

11:15

11:15

11:15

11:16

THE COURT: It looks like the government has called in reinforcement.

Where are we, Mr. Ford?

MR. FORD: We have reached a resolution on nearly everything. There are seven requests.

I will do this quickly. Request 7, which we are seeking communications about any of the Twitter handles that any of the codefendants have. The government has agreed to that.

Number 6, we are seeking copies of communications with the -- I'm sorry -- regarding any of the codefendants, about them. The government has agreed to that.

Number 5, we were originally seeking from

January 2019 to April 2022. We are changing it to -- we

modified it for your time period, so it will be -- and this

goes for the whole thing -- now December 2019, a month before,

to August 2022, so three months after. And that's -- request 5

is stuff they have about any of these 54 stock tickers that we

are now talking about. We are in agreement on that.

Number 4: We have significantly narrowed it. We just want two types of information. We want to know what their broker dealers -- brokerage houses that they used were. If you used just used TD Ameritrade, you just say TD Ameritrade. If you had six different broker dealers, you just tell us who they

are.

11:16

11:16

11:16

11:17

11:17 25

THE COURT: With the thought that you are then going to go to the brokerage house?

MR. FORD: Yeah. Well, Mr. Williams -- that's going to cover it. The one thing that we don't think is covered by Mr. Williams's subpoena is 199-B forms. This is one of the points of disagreement.

What this is is it's a form that will be self-authenticating from the broker dealer that will show the trades that the individual placed and then the profit or loss that they made. We just think it's the easiest, simplest, most narrow way to obtain that information.

THE COURT: Now, do you get a 1099-B for every specific trade?

MR. FORD: It will show everything. What it is is it's a document that's going to show sort of a summary up front. So you traded game stock, made a million dollars. And then you traded Amazon and you lost \$20.

After that, it will show the actual summaries day by day of all of the trades that you placed, whether they were buys or sales. So that's what the document is. It was the subject of the earlier hearing that we had when we were seeking to get the codefendants' 1099-Bs. So we would like to be able to obtain that same thing from these witnesses who will testify about their trading activity.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

20

21

22

23

24

25

11:19

11:19

And the reason we think it should extend, you know, sort of beyond the 54, we would like to see their general trading activities. We suspect, based on what we have seen so far, that these are individuals who -- some of them traded in many stocks, and we would like to be able to show that their trading was consistent or inconsistent, as the case may be, across their trading activity rather than modified with regard to a specific tweet or something that they saw by one of the codefendants.

THE COURT: Go ahead. I see the obvious problem with it.

MR. ARMSTRONG: If I may. We have now had an ironic shift in the case. The defendants were arguing for months that we have to have a narrowly-tailored case that is limited to specific tickers and specific time periods.

And so what we did is we distilled our case down from 396 episodes to 55, as the Court is now aware. So now the defendants want to open the door to every single trade that a potential victim made, which includes some of the stuff that we specifically excised from the case to not go down rabbit holes. So they now want to question witnesses about, Hey, you relied on this meme stock that the defendants very well may have been pumping and dumping through their own false and misleading statements.

Does that require us now to then have to

introduce that stuff that we chose on the front end to excise 11:19 1 from the case from the defendants' request? This is a bridge 2 way too far. 3 THE COURT: Ryan O'Neal, may he rest in peace. 4 I'm going to side with the government on this 11:19 5 6 issue, although I think you are going to get the same 7 information when they take the stand. 8 I'm not going to preclude you from 9 cross-examining him on, Look, you trade all the time. 10 know, you don't need these guys to trade. You have been doing 11:19 11 it for years. And I think you will have that information. 12 13 trying to think if there's a way to narrow it, and right now, 14 one is not coming to mind. On a different topic, does this resolution, 15 11:20 16 Mr. Williams, take care of your problem? 17 MR. WILLIAMS: Judge, I think what you may have said 18 foreshadowed your ruling on our remaining dispute. 19 We have an agreement with respect to the time periods involved and that they would agree to give us the trade 20 11:20 21 data for the 54 tickers. We would like to have all of their trade data for that time period, and the government disagrees. 22 MR. ARMSTRONG: Your Honor, on this point, I think 23 this just crystallizes exactly what the issue is here. We are 24 25 requesting duplicative subpoenas, both from the victims and 11:20

1 | fro

THE COLDS

2

11:21

5

3

4

6

7

9

11:21 10

11

12

14

15

13

11:21

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

11:21

24

11:21 25

from the trading houses.

THE COURT: What?

MR. ARMSTRONG: And from the trading houses.

If Your Honor's ruling is that these trading records theoretically could be admissible under some theory, which we will discuss at a later point, but why don't we just cut this off at the pass. They can issue their 17(c) subpoenas to the trading houses and then take the burden off of the victims to find this information and then give it to us because they are going to be getting it from the trading records -- from the trading houses.

THE COURT: I thought that's what we were doing. Did I misunderstand? I thought from the victims we were getting the names of the trading houses.

MR. ARMSTRONG: That's fine. No issue with that.

THE COURT: Okay. And then Mr. Williams in his subpoena -- I don't care who issues it -- is going to go to the trading houses directly.

MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, sir. Based upon a ruling today of whatever the scope is, I would agree with Your Honor and suggest that we get the subpoenas for what we know about out now so we can get them back in a timely way. And then if we find additional trading houses from the complainants, then there may be a round two or three of subpoenas going out, as well, as soon as we have that information. To me, it's a

question of making sure we get this in a timely way that is 11:22 1 usable by our trial date. 2 MR. ARMSTRONG: And I think that would totally moot 3 half of the subpoena at issue here, because if they get the 4 information from the horse's mouth, which is the brokerages. 11:22 5 6 THE COURT: What do you think gets mooted? 7 MR. ARMSTRONG: The trading requests. So request 5 8 specifically and 4 about --9 THE COURT: I don't have those in front of me, so you 10 are going to have to tell me what they say. 11:22 11 MR. ARMSTRONG: Number 4 is document submission to show statements and information you contend you relied upon 12 13 while trading any of the following stocks. 14 That's a little bit different reading it again. That's a little bit broader, which I appreciate, but the 15 11:22 16 trading records, for sure, are documents sufficient to show for 17 the time period the trading records essentially. 18 MR. FORD: We have negotiated with Mr. Williams. are going to let him do. If we want to attach the 1099-B onto 19 his request, it's a different document. The trading record 20 11:22 appears differently than a 1099-B, which is a summary. For 21 example, a trading record will not necessarily show your profit 22 or loss in a stock ticker whereas the 1099-B is. So there is a 23 24 definite reason we are seeking it. 25 If we want to have Mr. Williams do it, I'm fine 11:23

11:23 1 with it.

11:23

11:23

11:24

11:24

11:24

THE COURT: Isn't the brokerage house the one that issues the 1099. Right? Let's take it out of the individual requests, and let's talk about -- I'm concerned about this every-trade-you-have-ever-made issue. And I understand the relevance. I understand what you guys are saying, that they have this pattern of trading that was long established, you know, both before and after and so...

MR. WILLIAMS: Here's a solution that I had before arguing about it. If we are going to get this data, it is probably just easier to get all of it, and we can sort it. And then we can have motions in limine about what we want to do it, if it is outside the 54 and relevant later. I mean, if they think, Oh, you want -- because we are going to have to trade exhibit lists. We are going to have to trade witness lists. We are going to have to trade expert reports.

So if we go outside the data of the 54, they are going to know about it well in advance of trial, and I think everybody in this room knows nobody here is afraid to write motions. So you will get motions in limine about that.

Why narrow it now? Let's get it. And then if they think we are going to misuse it, they can write about it.

THE COURT: Why does that not sound like a compromise?

MR. LIOLOS: Your Honor, that's exactly the sort of

fishing expedition that is precluded under Nixon. It is not to

11:24 11:24 11:25 11:25

. . . . . .

11:25

11:26 25 wait until motions in limine that they have to articulate their specific theory of relevance. It is right now when they are trying to issue the Rule 17 subpoenas under that standard.

We can sensibly limit this to the tickers and time periods at issue. It's very easy to do.

MR. ROSEN: Each one of these victims allegedly is going to testify from the FBI reports that we have seen that they wouldn't have bought these stocks but for the tweeting and they certainly wouldn't have bought the stocks if they knew people were selling. We are certainly allowed to cross-examine them and say, Hey, you have been trading in and out of these meme stocks for months. We just don't know what they are yet. We are talking about something we can't articulate fully because we don't have the records. That is why Mr. Williams's argument makes so much sense. We can be back here in a month arguing about which specific ones are relevant.

THE COURT: I'm going to allow the 1099-Bs through Mr. Williams for the same time period we have talked about.

Now, let me emphasize something to everybody, and I'm not accusing anybody, because I don't think we have had a problem so far. But this is private, sensitive information for people that don't have anything to do with this lawsuit, and I do not want to see this in public anywhere. I mean, I'm serious as a heart attack when I say that.

These people have a right to privacy. They kind

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

of waived it by participating in this lawsuit, and I agree with your right to cross-examine them on the trade, but I do not want to see this information on the Internet, in the Chronicle. It's not fair to them, number one.

11:26

11:26

And, number two, we're not going to try -- and this goes for the defendants too -- a bunch of extraneous trading, whether it be the victims' trading, the defendants' trading. We are going to hone in on what this is because, I mean, you know, we are going to get this tried in the time period I have been talking about. And I will put timing limits on y'all. So I'm giving you a heads-up right now that we're going to go right to the meat of this thing and to the allegations. And I'm going to allow you to cross-examine, to the extent the defendants take the stand and to the extent there are other witnesses's various -- I will use the word trading habits, or tweeting habits. But we are not going to try 10,000 extraneous trades. I mean, one, it's impossible. It asks too much of the jury, and it's just something we can't impanel a jury to do. And, two, it doesn't have anything to do with the allegations against the defendants.

11:27

17 18

19

20

21

22

11:27

11:28

23

24

25

So I'm giving both sides fair warning that we are going to go to the meat of this thing. We are going to try it, you know. And believe me, I have been giving the manner in which we are going to try this case a lot of thought throughout reading your motions, trying to figure out how we are going to

do this. And, you know, I'm probably going to ask the 11:28 1 2 defendants -- and I'm throwing this out; I'm not ordering this -- but to have, you know, kind of a -- one of their group 3 be the chief cross-examiner, for instance, of one of these 4 witnesses. But I'm not going to allow every defense lawyer to 5 11:28 6 get up there and retread the same ground that we have done time 7 and time again. You know, I mean, once the primary 8 cross-examination is over, I mean, if one of the other 9 defendants wants to get up and say, Have you ever heard of my 10 client? No. And sit down. That's a pretty good cross if you 11:28 11 get a witness to say they have never heard of you. So we are going to have -- I'm going to put some limits on the 12 13 examination. I'm going to put some limits on the 14 cross-examination of witnesses, especially these people that 15 don't have anything to do with this lawsuit. 11:29 16 Now, I'm ordering this discovery because I think 17 the defendants have a right to it, and they have a right to 18 see -- for instance, if there's an argument that witness number A, he was trading like this before, he was trading like 19 this during, he was trading like this after. 20 I mean, that 11:29 certainly, you know, is supportive of an argument that he 21 didn't rely on anything the defendants did. 22 But, you know, let's keep focus though on what 23

the actual allegations in the indictment is -- are.

Go ahead.

24

25

11:30

MR. ARMSTRONG: I'm going to hold my tongue for now, 11:30 1 2 Your Honor. THE COURT: You know, I am a -- and I have said it 3 before. I'm a product of the civil side, and I'm a product of, 4 okay, you know, both sides are allowed certain discovery, but 11:30 5 the fact you know some stuff doesn't make it admissible and 6 7 doesn't make it relevant. And we're going to have to -- we 8 will flush this out in detail before we ever let a juror set foot in here, but I don't want anybody to interpret the fact 9 10 that I'm allowing this to say, The judge must think this is 11:30 11 admissible. I'm also threatening everybody within an inch of your life if this information becomes public, because I don't 12 think these individuals deserve that. 13 14 Is there anything else we can resolve? This resolves one half of it. 15 MR. FORD: Yes. 11:31 The next thing has to do with the social media. 16 17 On document request 3, we just want the names of 18 other accounts. You can have multiple Twitter accounts, so if you have got six different Twitter accounts, can we just have 19 the handle for each one? That's it. Noncontroversial. 20 11:31 21 Request 1 has to do with Twitter. 22 MR. ARMSTRONG: I'm sorry, Mr. Ford. 2B is where we 23 do have the controversy. MR. FORD: So request 1 has to do with Twitter. 24 25 Request 2 has to do with Discord. We have agreed to narrow the 11:31

are not looking through this for sensitive stuff. We really

scope again, as Your Honor said, so we will be seeking only 11:31 1 from December 2019 to August 2022. 2 What we have run into with this is coming up with 3 a creative way that we can get this information that doesn't 4 completely tax and burden these people, as I said, by requiring 5 11:32 6 them to go over it. 7 We have two presentations from -- we have two 8 sort of recommendations, suggestions from our side. One is we 9 can give the witnesses an option, meaning option one, you can 10 export it all. Option two, you can manually go through it and 11:32 11 find the responsive stuff. One of the witnesses we spoke to on the phone 12 13 just said, Hey, what if I just give you my login and you can 14 just take whatever you need? We said, We're not going to do that. But that is 15 11:32 16 at least one of the responses we have got. 17 The second thing, which I was going to suggest, 18 and you will have already dealt with the issue, is there is a protective order in place, and so we continue to abide by the 19 protective order and not release any information. 20 11:32 21 It would be useful to have clarification as to 22 when we can publicly disclose it, meaning in a motion. Once we get to trial, I presume we are going to have to disclose some 23 of this stuff, but I don't anticipate it being an issue. 24

25

11:33

11:33

11:33

11:33

11:33

11:34

11:34 25

want to know about their trading habits, the way they are talking about it. And that's all we would be looking for.

Because Twitter doesn't give us an option for a more narrow request, Discord, number 2, does. And the issue the government has is we're requesting any conversations on your Discord, such as direct messages, that pertain to investments, stock or financial matters.

MR. ARMSTRONG: Including --

MR. FORD: And so we think it is all fair game. It all is relevant to how they traded and how they perceived the Discord messages. The government is saying it should be limited to the 54. I see practical issues on how we do that. If somebody is having a conversation about a specific stock ticker, it could go on and on with messages back and forth. Again, we are asking the witness to read through and make a determination as to whether these 27 messages all pertain to that stock ticker. So it seems cumbersome, so we would like to have all of that information, anything regarding investment, stocks or financial matters on their Discord --

THE COURT: I agree with the government on this. I think we limit it with this caveat: I mean, if the witness says, Hey, look, I don't want to go through and look at all of these, I mean, I'm giving the witness the option. If they are going to produce them all, they can produce them all. And I'm saying this, as I said earlier, not knowing what the technology

11:34

11:34

11:35

11:35

11:35

11:36 25 is, but I'm sure a lot of these people are just going to say, you know, there's one way I can just push a button. They are going to take that way. And that puts the onus on both sides to go through that stuff. But as a matter of what -- how the subpoena reads, I want it limited.

MR. ARMSTRONG: Your Honor, here is kind of the fulcrum on the social media activity. They are essentially asking for a dump of an individual's entire Discord and entire Twitter.

I think, being the most generous, the most appropriate request is what we did not object to in 6 and 7, which is communications you have regarding the defendants and copies of any communications you have with their accounts.

That strikes me as conceivably relevant --

THE COURT: My problem with that is if it has to do with the stocks at issue, I mean, they could be communications not involving the defendants, but they could be about the defendants. They can be -- they could be Mr. Ford texting or communicating with Mr. Williams, Hey, did you see what so and so says?

MR. ARMSTRONG: That's easy. We can tack on the specific stocks that are at issue in the 55 to six or seven, but to require an individual to have to choose between either giving everything in their social media activity or having it being narrowly tailored, I think the answer is very clear, that

we try to narrow it on the front end. 11:36 1 2 THE COURT: And that's what I just said. I want it narrowly tailored on the front end. 3 4 MR. ARMSTRONG: Excellent. THE COURT: But the caveat is if the witness would 5 11:36 6 rather do it the other way, I'm giving the witness the option 7 to do that. MR. FORD: We are going to present two options. 8 9 first will be, When you get your Twitter data, you can download 10 the whole thing and give it to us. We understand the 11:36 11 protective order. 12 The second option will be if it has to do with 13 any of these named codefendants or any of these 54 stock 14 tickers, you can go through, select that and give it to us. 15 THE COURT: And I'm okay with that. 11:36 MR. ARMSTRONG: Thank you, Judge. 16 MR. FORD: I think that resolves everything. 17 Thank you, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: All right. And I have heard from 19 Mr. Williams and Mr. Rosen and Mr. Ford. I'm sure we have 20 11:36 21 representatives of the other defendants here. If you have -- I don't want these people to be barraged with subpoenas. I don't 22 want the trading houses to be barraged with subpoenas. 23 there is something not included in here that you think you 24 25 need, talk to the government, talk to Mr. Williams, talk to 11:37

Mr. Ford, and let's get them in one document. This is not to 11:37 1 see how many subpoenas we can send to TD Trading House, or 2 whatever the name of it is. 3 This sounds like, the way we have talked, it 4 ought to cover everybody and ought to cover the stocks at 5 11:37 6 issue. 7 MR. ARMSTRONG: Your Honor, recognizing I have not 8 seen Mr. Williams's subpoena, are those early return subpoenas? 9 THE COURT: Mr. Williams, what are they? 10 MR. WILLIAMS: They are. And obviously we have 11:37 11 rewritten to incorporate changes today from this hearing. Once we get the data, with the Court's instruction, we will share 12 13 it. 14 MR. FORD: Your Honor, the additional request on this is if we can get a return data on them rather than doing the 15 11:38 16 trial date. 17 I think we ought to try to get it as soon THE COURT: as reasonably possible, realizing, you know, we are sitting 18 here on December 18th. We have two weeks of holidays. But, 19 you know, I would think a return date at least by late January, 20 11:38 if we can get that, so both sides have it. Because my thought 21 is, one, you are going to have to go through them all, both 22 sides are, and it is going to be a pain in the you-know-what to 23 do this. But, two, as we work our way to trial, I mean, I'm as 24 25 serious as I can be about limiting cross-examination, limiting 11:38

1:38	1	direct, and vice versa, because we are going to have a hard
	2	enough time getting a jury to commit for as long as this trial
	3	may take, and we are not going to, you know, elongate the trial
	4	needlessly.
1:39	5	I will have to tell you my favorite prosecutor
	6	when I was in Brownsville would say, you know, what's your
	7	name, what do you do, what do you know about this. Almost
	8	literally. His directs were 20 minutes. I realize that's not
	9	this case, but, you know, let's plan on both sides getting to
1:39	10	the heart of it.
	11	All right. Thank you.
	12	MR. ARMSTRONG: Thank you, Your Honor.
	13	MR. FORD: Thank you, Your Honor.
	14	
	15	(Court adjourned at 11:39 A.M.)
	16	* * *
	17	I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from
	18	the record of proceedings in the above-entitled cause.
	19	
	20	Date: December 20, 2023
	21	1s/ Mayra Malone
	22	Mayra Malone, CSR, RMR, CRR
	23	Official Court Reporter
	24	
	25	

Case 4:22-cr-00612 Docum	267 [1] 32/18 Filed on 12/21/23 in	Act [1] 9/14 acting [1] 23/9e 44 of 53
MR. ARMSTRONG: [24] 6/11 17/1	27 [1] 39/16	
17/12 20/20 23/12 23/24 25/2 26/23	<b>2B</b> [1] 37/22	activities [1] 29/3
29/12 30/23 31/3 31/15 32/3 32/7	3	activity [5] 9/8 28/25 29/7 40/7 40/24
32/11 37/1 37/22 39/8 40/6 40/21 41/4		actors [1] 20/24
41/16 42/7 43/12	33 cents [1] 22/12	actual [7] 10/16 15/2 16/12 17/23 21/2
MR. FORD: [19] 8/22 10/18 13/13	<b>33139 [1]</b> 3/9	28/19 36/24
	<b>3700 [1]</b> 2/5	actually [3] 11/21 14/21 24/16
18/2 22/2 22/8 24/15 25/14 27/5 28/4	<b>396 [1]</b> 29/17	additional [2] 31/23 42/14
28/15 32/18 37/15 37/24 39/9 41/8		address [5] 6/10 6/13 6/18 13/13 15/5
41/17 42/14 43/13	4	adjourned [1] 43/15
MR. LIOLOS: [5] 6/15 12/6 13/3 24/8	407 [1] 3/8	admissibility [1] 8/9
33/24	4:22-CR-612 [1] 1/4	admissible [6] 13/4 25/22 25/24 31/5
MR. ROSEN: [3] 19/4 19/7 34/6		37/6 37/11
MR. WILLIAMS: [12] 5/9 5/16 5/22	5	admitted [1] 24/10
6/2 6/4 14/19 26/16 26/24 30/17 31/19	<b>50 [1]</b> 22/19	admitting [1] 14/16
33/9 42/10	<b>50 cents [3]</b> 22/12 22/24 23/2	advance [1] 33/18
THE COURT: [46]	515 [1] 4/14	advised [1] 5/11
\$	<b>54</b> [7] 27/19 29/2 30/21 33/13 33/17	afraid [1] 33/19
	39/12 41/13	after [7] 21/21 22/16 25/13 27/18
<b>\$20 [1]</b> 28/18	<b>55 [2]</b> 29/17 40/22	28/19 33/8 36/20
1		
<u>/</u>	6	afterwards [1] 21/22
/s [1] 43/21	<b>600 [1]</b> 4/6	again [6] 18/3 20/7 32/14 36/7 38/1
0	<b>612</b> [2] 1/4 5/2	39/15
0	620 [1] 2/5	against [2] 26/9 35/20
<b>02110 [1]</b> 2/17		agree [6] 17/6 17/7 30/20 31/20 35/1
1	7	39/20
<u>  1                                   </u>	<b>75201 [1]</b> 4/7	agreed [3] 27/9 27/13 37/25
<b>10,000 [1]</b> 35/17	<b>77002 [3]</b> 1/18 3/16 4/15	agreement [2] 27/20 30/19
<b>1000 [1]</b> 1/17	77006 [1] 2/14	ahead [5] 14/22 16/25 25/25 29/10
<b>1001 [1]</b> 3/15	77008 [1] 3/5	36/25
<b>1099</b> [1] 33/3	77010 [1] 3/24	aided [1] 4/17
<b>1099-B [4]</b> 28/13 32/19 32/21 32/23	<b>77019</b> [1] 3/24 <b>77019</b> [2] 3/13 3/19	al [2] 1/7 5/3
<b>1099-Bs [3]</b> 24/22 28/23 34/17		alert [1] 9/4
10:00 [1] 1/7	<b>78701</b> [1] 2/9	all [41] 5/15 6/22 7/22 8/4 9/20 9/23
<b>10:35 [2]</b> 26/20 26/25	<b>78738 [1]</b> 2/5	12/17 12/25 13/15 14/1 15/17 18/5
<b>11 [2]</b> 6/21 24/10	8	18/11 18/12 18/20 18/24 18/24 19/19
<b>11:00</b> [1] 26/21		20/17 24/17 24/18 24/20 25/23 26/8
11:11 [1] 27/1	8004 [1] 4/14	26/20 28/20 30/9 30/21 33/11 38/10
<b>11:39</b> [1] 43/15	<b>803</b> [1] 3/15	39/2 39/9 39/10 39/16 39/18 39/22
<b>12-3 [1]</b> 3/9	<b>819 [1]</b> 2/13	39/24 39/24 41/19 42/22 43/11
<b>1207 [2]</b> 3/12 3/18	9	allegation [1] 22/18
1400 [1] 1/23		allegations [4] 25/13 35/13 35/20
1401 [1] 3/23	902 [1] 3/5	36/24
1500 [1] 2/9	919 [1] 2/8	alleged [4] 6/22 7/8 14/25 17/3
<b>17</b> [7] 5/17 8/15 14/23 20/16 24/1 31/7	99 percent [1] 17/22	allegedly [1] 34/6
34/3	A	allow [4] 23/17 34/17 35/13 36/5
		allowed [2] 34/10 37/5
18 [1] 1/6	<b>A.M [2]</b> 1/7 43/15	allowing [3] 23/18 25/11 37/10
18th [1] 42/19	abide [1] 38/19	Almost [1] 43/7
1900 [1] 3/23	ability [1] 11/11	alphabetically [1] 22/9
<b>199-B [1]</b> 28/6	able [4] 9/11 10/11 28/23 29/5	already [4] 8/13 12/7 12/10 38/18
2	about [48]	also [7] 1/20 4/4 17/22 19/10 19/23
	above [1] 43/18	23/12 37/11
<b>20</b> [1] 43/20	above-entitled [1] 43/18	
20 minutes [2] 26/13 43/8	absolute [1] 20/21	although [1] 30/6
<b>20005</b> [1] 1/23	absurd [1] 23/13	am [5] 25/11 26/5 26/25 27/1 37/3
<b>2019 [4]</b> 7/5 27/15 27/17 38/2	abusive [2] 16/5 16/13	am a [1] 37/3
2020 [1] 15/4	access [5] 8/15 10/4 10/11 10/16	Amazon [1] 28/18
<b>2021 [1]</b> 10/14	11/22	AMERICA [1] 1/4
<b>2022 [4]</b> 15/4 27/15 27/18 38/2	accessible [1] 24/24	Ameritrade [5] 13/19 13/20 18/8 27/24
<b>2023 [2]</b> 1/6 43/20	account [3] 12/23 13/11 17/22	27/24
21st [1] 22/22	accounts [14] 7/23 8/2 10/9 12/22	ANDREW [1] 1/10
<b>22-CR-612 [1]</b> 5/2	13/9 14/2 14/3 14/6 15/18 24/15 37/18	another [2] 7/11 21/21
<b>225 [1]</b> 2/16	37/18 37/19 40/13	answer [2] 13/21 40/25
<b>2300 [1]</b> 1/17	accused [1] 22/10	anticipate [1] 38/24
2323 [1] 4/6	accusing [1] 34/20	any [15] 9/11 9/21 10/11 10/23 13/22
<b>26 [1]</b> 14/24	across [1] 29/7	27/8 27/9 27/12 27/19 32/13 38/20

care [5] 11/2 21/12 21/25 30/16 31/17 Carios [1] 37/9e 45 01 53 32/4,33/14 34/14 34/20 35/8,36/16 637/12 39/3 42/21 43/10 12/21/23 in ACase 4:22-cr-00612 Docum any... [4] 39/5 40/13 41/13 41/13 becomes [1] 37/12 Carter [3] 1/16 6/6 6/7 anybody [2] 34/20 37/9 case [28] 6/23 7/6 8/6 8/25 10/11 11/3 **bed [1]** 26/18 anyone [2] 15/15 24/13 been [11] 5/11 14/2 15/14 18/18 19/19 12/18 12/22 13/4 15/19 16/3 16/12 anything [8] 15/16 15/18 34/22 35/19 25/10 29/22 30/10 34/11 35/10 35/23 17/2 17/15 17/19 19/3 19/17 21/24 36/15 36/22 37/14 39/18 before [13] 1/10 7/6 15/15 20/5 21/20 23/3 23/19 29/6 29/13 29/14 29/16 anywhere [2] 8/10 34/23 23/2 25/12 27/17 33/8 33/9 36/19 37/4 29/20 30/2 35/24 43/9 apparently [1] 24/2 37/8 categories [2] 7/12 9/6 appearance [1] 4/10 began [2] 15/23 25/13 cause [3] 8/8 23/16 43/18 APPEARANCES [4] 1/12 1/24 2/18 4/1 behalf [3] 6/16 8/23 19/7 caused [2] 9/2 11/25 appearing [1] 6/21 being [4] 11/17 38/24 40/10 40/25 causing [1] 18/23 appears [2] 18/15 32/21 believe [2] 5/19 35/23 caveat [2] 39/21 41/5 applies [1] 6/25 cents [5] 22/12 22/12 22/20 22/24 23/2 believed [1] 22/14 **appreciate** [1] 32/15 best [2] 20/21 20/21 certain [4] 13/17 16/6 16/6 37/5 appropriate [1] 40/11 better [2] 22/8 25/19 certainly [3] 34/9 34/10 36/21 approve [1] 15/10 between [1] 40/23 certify [1] 43/17 **April [3]** 15/4 22/22 27/15 beyond [3] 13/3 23/16 29/2 changed [1] 10/20 **April 2022 [2]** 15/4 27/15 bit [2] 32/14 32/15 changes [1] 42/11 April 21st [1] 22/22 blue [13] 12/9 13/14 13/14 13/15 changing [1] 27/15 archive [1] 10/19 13/24 17/20 18/2 18/5 18/10 19/8 **changing it [1]** 27/15 archives [1] 9/19 19/18 19/20 20/12 **charges** [1] 7/6 are [130] Boston [1] 2/17 chief [1] 36/4 aren't [3] 12/17 16/2 16/3 both [13] 8/15 10/21 14/17 24/15 Chip [1] 3/11 arguing [5] 9/1 24/14 29/13 33/10 26/10 30/25 33/8 35/21 37/5 40/3 **choose [1]** 40/23 34/16 42/21 42/22 43/9 chose [2] 10/15 30/1 argument [4] 16/14 34/15 36/18 36/21 bought [4] 12/13 19/16 34/8 34/9 **Chronicle** [1] 35/3 **Armstrong [2]** 1/21 6/12 Boulevard [2] 2/13 3/5 civil [3] 12/25 26/7 37/4 around [1] 26/13 break [2] 16/11 21/15 claim [1] 8/12 articulate [2] 34/1 34/13 bridge [1] 30/2 claiming [3] 11/17 22/12 23/6 as [44] brief [1] 7/4 claims [1] 23/9 ASHCROFT [1] 2/8 briefly [1] 19/8 clarification [1] 38/21 ask [1] 36/1 broad [1] 24/3 clear [1] 40/25 asked [3] 13/2 13/3 13/20 click [4] 9/18 11/18 24/17 24/22 broader [2] 12/21 32/15 asking [4] 20/17 20/24 39/15 40/8 broadly [2] 12/15 25/8 client [10] 9/1 9/3 10/2 18/22 22/10 asks [1] 35/18 broker [8] 13/17 13/23 18/20 18/24 22/14 22/16 22/18 23/6 36/10 **Assistant** [1] 1/16 24/20 27/23 27/25 28/9 client's [1] 11/24 ASSOCIATES [1] 2/13 brokerage [8] 24/1 24/4 24/5 25/20 coax [1] 14/16 assure [1] 10/25 25/25 27/23 28/3 33/2 codefendants [4] 27/9 27/12 29/9 attach [1] 32/19 brokerages [2] 21/3 32/5 41/13 attack [1] 34/24 Brokers [1] 13/22 codefendants' [1] 28/23 **attention [1]** 6/20 Brownsville [1] 43/6 collaborate [1] 17/9 **Attorney [3]** 1/16 3/8 3/12 **Bs [3]** 24/22 28/23 34/17 colleague [2] 5/11 6/12 **August [2]** 27/18 38/2 bunch [1] 35/6 come [8] 7/16 8/10 12/19 16/15 24/23 August 2022 [2] 27/18 38/2 burden [7] 7/3 8/11 24/25 25/17 25/21 25/16 26/7 26/20 **Austin [2]** 2/5 2/9 31/8 38/5 **coming [2]** 30/14 38/3 authenticate [1] 14/12 burdening [1] 24/2 comments [1] 17/9 authenticating [1] 28/9 burdensome [3] 7/7 11/18 16/24 commit [1] 43/2 authenticity [1] 14/6 button [6] 9/17 9/18 11/19 16/20 24/17 committing [1] 22/11 **Avenue [3]** 1/23 2/8 4/6 communicating [1] 40/19 40/2 average [1] 22/17 communications [8] 9/14 11/3 22/4 buy [7] 10/3 11/25 19/14 20/4 22/7 aware [1] 29/17 22/14 22/17 27/8 27/11 40/12 40/13 40/16 away [2] 19/13 24/23 buying [2] 19/24 20/15 companies [1] 14/24 buys [1] 28/21 complainants [1] 31/23 completely [2] 17/18 38/5 back [5] 14/16 26/21 31/22 34/15 comply [1] 20/25 39/14 call [1] 14/14 compromise [1] 33/23 **bar [1]** 9/15 called [4] 22/10 22/25 23/5 27/2 computer [1] 4/17 barraged [2] 41/22 41/23 calls [1] 8/9 computer-aided [1] 4/17 based [3] 8/24 29/3 31/19 came [1] 6/20 conceivably [1] 40/14 basically [2] 10/19 24/22 can [50] **concerned** [1] 33/4 basis [1] 7/17 can't [12] 7/13 7/16 7/20 10/8 12/18 concerns [1] 10/23 **BBI [1]** 22/10 13/7 13/11 19/6 21/17 24/5 34/13 conduct [1] 23/6 BBI's [2] 22/14 22/17 35/18 **Congress** [1] 2/8 Beach [1] 3/9 cannot [1] 17/16 considering [1] 15/6 because [27] 5/14 5/17 6/7 7/14 10/18 capable [1] 24/9 consistent [1] 29/6 14/2 14/22 17/13 18/7 18/11 19/5 capacity [1] 11/11 conspiracy [1] 22/23 19/24 20/17 23/19 25/20 25/21 31/9

December 2019 [2] 27/17-38/2 DEEL [1] 3/3 **C**Case 4:22-cr-00612 **down [9]** 16/11 16/11 17/8-21/14 25/9 25/18 29/16 29/20 36/10 53 Docum **CONSTANTINESCU [4]** 1/6 2/3 5/3 defend [1] 15/20 download [2] 24/18 41/9 defendant [12] 2/3 2/6 2/11 3/3 3/6 downloads [1] 9/18 Constantinescu's [1] 6/19 3/10 3/21 4/4 4/9 7/2 8/10 8/23 dozen [1] 14/24 **constantly [1]** 20/14 defendants [25] 8/2 9/22 15/12 15/20 **Drive [2]** 3/12 3/18 contend [1] 32/12 15/25 16/7 20/6 20/8 20/15 21/11 due [2] 7/20 13/8 content [1] 8/9 21/18 29/13 29/18 29/22 35/6 35/14 dump [1] 40/8 **continue** [1] 38/19 35/20 36/2 36/9 36/17 36/22 40/12 dumping [1] 29/23 **CONTINUED [3]** 2/1 3/1 4/1 40/17 40/18 41/21 duplicative [1] 30/25 controversy [1] 37/23 defendants' [3] 12/21 30/2 35/7 during [4] 16/7 19/14 23/8 36/20 conversation [1] 39/13 defense [3] 14/13 23/11 36/5 conversations [1] 39/5 definite [1] 32/24 **COOPERMAN [1]** 3/10 each [4] 12/11 26/8 34/6 37/20 definitely [1] 22/23 copies [3] 26/17 27/11 40/13 earlier [2] 28/22 39/25 defrauded [1] 22/18 **copy [2]** 17/23 26/6 delete [2] 10/13 10/15 early [1] 42/8 Cordova [1] 3/22 easier [1] 33/11 deleted [1] 10/17 correct [1] 43/17 demonstrated [1] 24/8 easiest [4] 11/5 16/24 21/9 28/11 corroborate [1] 12/2 demonstrates [2] 7/7 23/13 easily [1] 24/24 could [7] 14/2 19/4 31/5 39/14 40/16 easy [5] 9/16 24/14 24/16 34/5 40/21 **DEPARTMENT [1]** 1/22 40/17 40/18 economy [1] 14/22 dependent [1] 20/15 couple [1] 24/23 educate [1] 24/12 depends [1] 24/19 course [1] 12/15 EDWARD [4] 1/6 2/3 5/2 8/23 deserve [1] 37/13 court [17] 1/1 4/12 5/5 5/7 7/4 8/15 either [7] 10/10 18/4 22/2 25/17 26/11 detail [2] 17/20 37/8 8/16 8/17 14/22 15/6 19/6 23/4 26/25 26/12 40/23 determination [1] 39/16 27/1 29/17 43/15 43/23 elongate [1] 43/3 did [16] 5/13 10/20 14/16 14/18 16/15 Court's [2] 6/24 42/12 18/17 18/25 20/5 20/6 22/6 24/2 29/16 else [1] 37/14 Courthouse [1] 4/14 31/12 36/22 40/11 40/19 emphasize [1] 34/19 cover [3] 28/5 42/5 42/5 end [4] 15/24 30/1 41/1 41/3 didn't [8] 5/14 9/4 11/25 12/1 19/13 **covered** [1] 28/5 19/14 26/11 36/22 engaged [1] 23/7 CR [2] 1/4 5/2 difference [1] 14/25 **engaging** [1] 23/5 crazy [1] 16/19 enough [3] 16/21 17/16 43/2 different [6] 5/23 27/25 30/15 32/14 creative [1] 38/4 entire [4] 7/12 7/12 40/8 40/8 32/20 37/19 **cross [11]** 10/5 23/23 30/9 34/10 35/2 differently [1] 32/21 entirely [1] 10/13 35/13 36/4 36/8 36/10 36/14 42/25 entitled [3] 22/5 22/7 43/18 difficult [2] 9/25 25/3 cross-examination [3] 36/8 36/14 diligence [3] 7/20 13/8 23/14 episodes [2] 17/15 29/17 42/25 **Epley [2]** 3/17 3/18 direct [2] 39/6 43/1 cross-examine [5] 10/5 23/23 34/10 Eric [2] 2/15 19/7 directly [1] 31/18 35/2 35/13 Erin [2] 3/17 3/18 directs [1] 43/8 cross-examiner [1] 36/4 disagreement [1] 28/7 **especially [1]** 36/14 cross-examining [1] 30/9 disagrees [1] 30/22 essentially [2] 32/17 40/7 CRR [2] 4/13 43/22 disclose [3] 11/25 38/22 38/23 **established** [2] 8/8 33/7 crystallizes [1] 30/24 Discord [7] 9/11 37/25 39/4 39/6 et [2] 1/7 5/3 CSR [2] 4/13 43/22 39/11 39/19 40/8 Etrade [2] 13/22 24/21 **cumbersome** [1] 39/17 discovery [6] 5/5 12/11 16/5 17/18 evaluating [1] 20/4 custodians [1] 15/2 even [7] 7/24 8/5 12/21 18/6 18/10 36/16 37/5 cut [2] 24/1 31/7 22/8 23/5 discuss [1] 31/6 ever [6] 15/15 15/17 23/2 33/5 36/9 dispute [1] 30/18 37/8 distilled [1] 29/16 Dallas [1] 4/7 every [14] 9/25 10/19 11/7 11/8 11/8 **DISTRICT [3]** 1/1 1/1 1/11 **DANIEL [1]** 4/9 17/3 17/4 17/20 25/10 25/11 28/13 **DIVISION** [1] 1/2 data [16] 11/10 14/1 18/7 18/20 19/9 29/18 33/5 36/5 do [47] 19/15 19/20 20/12 24/18 30/21 30/22 document [7] 11/11 28/16 28/21 32/11 every-trade-you-have-ever-made [1] 33/10 33/17 41/9 42/12 42/15 32/20 37/17 42/1 33/5 date [4] 32/2 42/16 42/20 43/20 everybody [7] 23/8 23/10 25/16 33/19 documents [6] 7/5 7/12 8/13 9/7 11/2 dates [1] 19/10 34/19 37/11 42/5 32/16 day [9] 13/20 15/23 19/18 20/1 20/2 everything [7] 9/19 12/22 26/6 27/6 does [4] 29/25 30/15 33/23 39/4 20/3 20/14 28/19 28/20 doesn't [9] 13/21 18/13 25/20 26/12 28/15 40/24 41/17 days [3] 12/10 19/11 19/21 **evidence** [1] 13/6 35/19 37/6 37/7 38/4 39/3 DC [1] 1/23 evidentiary [4] 7/14 7/17 8/7 12/18 dog [1] 16/4 deal [1] 14/22 doing [9] 9/15 16/18 20/23 23/11 24/9 **ex [3]** 5/10 5/14 5/17 dealer [3] 13/17 24/20 28/9 26/21 30/10 31/12 42/15 **ex parte [3]** 5/10 5/14 5/17 dealers [5] 13/23 18/20 18/24 27/23 dollars [3] 22/15 22/21 28/17 exact [1] 10/5 27/25 exactly [2] 30/24 33/24 don't [49] dealt [1] 38/18 done [4] 9/19 24/10 26/22 36/6 examination [4] 36/8 36/13 36/14 **DECEMBER [5]** 1/6 27/17 38/2 42/19 42/25 doom [1] 20/22 examine [5] 10/5 23/23 34/10 35/2 door [1] 29/18 **December 18th [1]** 42/19

Docum 47/13 23/10 24/13 27/4 37/22 40/18 in had [7] 6/8 10/14 27/25 28/22 29/12 33/9 34/20 age 47 01 53 E<sub>Case 4:22-cr-00612</sub> **examine...** [1] 35/13 Ford's [1] 5/19 half [3] 14/24 32/4 37/15 **examiner** [1] 36/4 handle [1] 37/20 foregoing [1] 43/17 **examining** [1] 30/9 foreshadowed [1] 30/18 handles [1] 27/8 example [4] 7/11 7/22 18/8 32/22 **HANEN [1]** 1/10 form [3] 25/22 25/24 28/8 examples [2] 7/4 7/19 forms [1] 28/6 happened [1] 22/23 **Excellent [1]** 41/4 forth [3] 23/9 24/21 39/14 happy [1] 6/5 exchange [2] 18/12 18/13 forward [1] 5/7 hard [2] 17/23 43/1 excise [1] 30/1 has [20] 5/5 7/2 8/17 10/25 16/18 forwarding [1] 26/16 excised [1] 29/20 found [1] 12/8 17/14 18/17 22/5 24/10 26/9 27/2 27/9 exercise [1] 23/18 27/13 37/16 37/21 37/24 37/25 39/5 four [3] 7/5 22/15 22/21 **exhibit** [1] 33/15 40/15 41/12 fourth [1] 7/9 **expedition [2]** 7/9 33/25 hasn't [2] 8/11 19/19 Franklin [1] 2/16 expeditions [1] 16/6 Frankly [1] 23/4 hate [1] 14/19 **expert [1]** 33/16 fraud [1] 22/11 have [146] **explain [1]** 6/5 FREEDMAN [1] 2/16 having [3] 16/1 39/13 40/24 export [4] 7/13 18/19 18/20 38/10 **FRIEDLAND** [1] 2/16 he [20] 5/22 11/25 17/14 22/14 22/15 extend [1] 29/1 front [5] 28/17 30/1 32/9 41/1 41/3 22/16 22/19 22/20 22/21 22/23 22/25 **extending** [1] 14/7 fulcrum [1] 40/7 23/5 24/8 24/8 24/10 30/4 36/19 36/19 extent [3] 15/5 35/14 35/14 full [1] 19/3 36/20 36/21 extraneous [3] 23/20 35/6 35/17 he's [2] 16/17 22/23 fully [1] 34/13 extraordinarily [1] 20/18 function [1] 23/19 heads [1] 35/11 **extremely [2]** 19/16 20/9 Furthermore [1] 8/12 heads-up [1] 35/11 hear [4] 12/4 12/25 13/1 16/16 G heard [4] 6/17 36/9 36/11 41/19 face [1] 6/25 game [3] 17/11 28/17 39/9 hearing [9] 1/10 5/4 6/1 6/7 12/24 Facebook [1] 15/17 **GARY [1]** 3/3 24/13 24/13 28/22 42/11 fact [8] 9/4 10/5 13/19 19/18 19/21 gave [1] 17/24 hearsay [1] 7/15 20/7 37/6 37/9 general [1] 29/2 heart [2] 34/24 43/10 factor [1] 7/10 generally [1] 19/10 Heights [1] 3/5 factors [6] 7/1 7/2 7/3 7/18 7/19 24/9 generous [1] 40/10 help [2] 13/24 19/2 facts [1] 21/20 get [60] helpful [1] 8/19 fails [1] 24/11 gets [2] 22/25 32/6 helping [1] 15/24 fair [4] 17/11 35/4 35/21 39/9 getting [5] 21/12 31/10 31/13 43/2 **HENNESSEY [2]** 3/21 4/4 false [3] 17/16 18/1 29/23 43/9 here [22] 6/18 8/18 12/5 16/9 16/10 far [8] 10/23 14/4 18/14 21/7 22/4 29/4 girlfriend [1] 21/25 16/10 17/12 19/25 20/6 20/20 21/15 30/3 34/21 give [8] 7/4 30/20 31/9 38/9 38/13 39/3 25/19 26/19 30/24 32/4 33/19 34/15 **favorite** [1] 43/5 41/10 41/14 37/9 40/6 41/21 41/24 42/19 **FBI [2]** 18/17 34/7 given [4] 10/25 17/25 18/1 19/18 here's [2] 21/14 33/9 feeling [1] 11/15 giving [7] 25/10 35/11 35/21 35/23 Hey [6] 14/8 29/21 34/11 38/13 39/22 Fertitta [2] 3/4 3/4 39/23 40/24 41/6 40/19 fight [2] 12/24 16/4 go [30] 5/7 9/17 10/3 11/7 14/7 14/15 Heyward [1] 1/16 figure [1] 35/25 14/21 15/23 16/19 16/25 19/17 22/12 hiding [1] 23/15 file [2] 7/13 10/16 22/21 25/25 26/8 28/3 29/10 29/20 HILDER [1] 2/13 filed [2] 5/10 5/17 31/17 33/17 35/12 35/22 36/25 38/6 **him [2]** 30/9 32/19 filter [1] 11/12 38/10 39/14 39/22 40/4 41/14 42/22 his [7] 10/1 22/13 22/20 23/2 31/16 **final [1]** 15/10 goes [3] 10/1 27/17 35/6 32/20 43/8 financial [2] 39/7 39/19 going [75] histories [2] 19/11 19/24 find [4] 8/3 31/9 31/23 38/11 gone [1] 8/3 **history** [1] 17/10 fine [3] 24/5 31/15 32/25 good [5] 6/11 6/15 23/11 26/9 36/10 hold [2] 26/2 37/1 **FINRA [2]** 13/16 18/4 google [2] 7/22 13/11 holding [1] 22/24 fire [1] 15/22 got [4] 15/13 22/9 37/19 38/16 holes [1] 29/20 firm [8] 2/8 3/4 3/14 3/18 13/16 18/4 gotten [1] 20/10 holidays [1] 42/19 18/8 24/19 government [24] 1/15 1/20 5/15 6/5 hone [1] 35/8 firms [1] 13/23 6/8 10/9 10/25 11/14 11/17 12/4 16/10 Honor [28] 6/11 8/22 12/6 13/13 14/19 first [4] 6/17 22/9 22/13 41/9 21/12 23/6 26/5 26/17 27/2 27/9 27/13 15/5 17/1 17/12 20/20 23/12 23/24 fishing [4] 7/8 16/5 25/4 33/25 30/5 30/22 39/5 39/11 39/20 41/25 24/8 25/2 25/6 25/14 26/16 26/23 fits [2] 16/12 26/12 government's [3] 8/25 26/3 26/12 30/23 31/20 33/24 37/2 38/1 40/6 flatout [2] 17/15 18/1 granting [1] 26/5 41/18 42/7 42/14 43/12 43/13 Fleites [1] 3/7 ground [2] 21/1 36/6 **Honor's [1]** 31/4 Floor [1] 2/17 group [1] 36/3 **HONORABLE [1]** 1/10 Florida [1] 3/9 guy [3] 15/23 16/22 22/5 hoodwinked [2] 15/13 20/7 flush [1] 37/8 guys [3] 21/19 30/10 33/6 hope [2] 6/2 16/3 focus [1] 36/23 horse [1] 17/13 following [1] 32/13 horse's [1] 32/5 foot [1] 37/9 habits [3] 35/16 35/16 39/1 house [3] 28/3 33/2 42/2 Ford [13] 2/4 2/4 8/22 16/10 16/16

irrelevant [2] \_11/2,19/22 isn t [3] 16/22 23/25 33/2 2/21/23 in Lewis [1] 3/11 life [1] 37/12 age 48 of 53 H<sub>Case 4:22-cr-00612</sub> Docum houses [14] 24/1 24/5 24/6 25/20 issue [29] 5/9 6/8 6/13 8/8 12/12 12/18 like [21] 7/12 10/4 10/21 12/1 14/20 25/25 27/23 31/1 31/3 31/8 31/11 13/14 14/5 14/6 14/21 17/10 18/3 15/5 18/24 20/14 23/12 23/15 27/2 31/14 31/18 31/23 41/23 19/21 20/20 21/2 30/6 30/24 31/7 28/23 29/2 29/5 30/21 33/23 36/19 **HOUSTON [10]** 1/2 1/5 1/18 2/14 3/5 31/15 32/4 33/5 34/3 34/5 38/18 38/24 36/19 36/20 39/17 42/4 3/13 3/16 3/19 3/24 4/15 39/4 40/16 40/22 42/6 liked [5] 9/25 10/20 11/7 11/9 14/9 how [15] 14/12 16/15 19/17 19/24 20/4 issues [3] 31/17 33/3 39/12 limine [3] 33/12 33/20 34/1 20/18 23/3 25/2 26/21 35/25 39/10 it's [21] 5/11 7/3 10/3 10/13 10/17 limit [2] 34/4 39/21 39/10 39/12 40/4 42/2 11/18 11/21 13/6 14/23 19/14 19/21 limited [3] 29/14 39/12 40/5 **HRVATIN** [1] 3/6 26/20 28/8 28/11 28/16 31/25 32/20 limiting [2] 42/25 42/25 hundred [2] 20/2 20/3 34/5 35/4 35/17 35/18 limits [3] 35/10 36/12 36/13 hundred percent [2] 20/2 20/3 itself [1] 20/22 Lincoln [1] 3/8 hurting [1] 15/24 line [4] 16/19 16/19 16/19 16/19 link [2] 24/17 24/17 **JACKSON [2]** 3/22 4/5 **Liolos [3]** 1/21 6/12 6/15 I'm [53] James [1] 4/5 list [2] 6/22 10/19 **IB** [1] 24/20 January [3] 15/4 27/15 42/20 lists [2] 33/15 33/15 idea [2] 17/24 23/15 January 2019 [1] 27/15 literally [2] 10/25 43/8 identical [1] 23/6 January 2020 [1] 15/4 little [2] 32/14 32/15 identified [1] 8/5 **John [3]** 1/21 2/11 6/15 **LLP [3]** 2/16 3/22 4/5 identify [1] 19/5 judge [8] 1/11 5/9 6/15 19/4 26/24 log [2] 13/20 24/22 idiot [1] 22/6 30/17 37/10 41/16 log-on [1] 24/22 impanel [1] 35/19 judicial [1] 14/22 login [2] 9/18 38/13 impeachment [1] 20/22 jump [2] 20/23 21/6 long [3] 22/16 33/7 43/2 **important** [1] 20/9 juror [1] 37/8 look [8] 8/5 9/13 14/8 15/22 16/11 **impossible [1]** 35/17 jury [3] 35/18 35/19 43/2 30/9 39/22 39/22 **improper** [1] 25/7 just [41] 6/18 7/4 7/8 7/16 11/18 13/13 looked [1] 14/5 inadmissible [1] 21/6 16/1 16/20 17/6 17/15 18/1 19/8 19/14 looking [7] 5/24 20/12 20/13 23/3 26/1 inch [1] 37/11 19/21 19/25 21/7 21/19 23/12 23/16 38/25 39/2 included [1] 41/24 24/8 24/22 25/4 26/13 27/22 27/24 looks [1] 27/2 includes [1] 29/19 27/24 27/25 28/11 30/24 31/6 33/11 loss [2] 28/10 32/23 **Including [1]** 39/8 34/12 35/18 37/17 37/19 38/13 38/13 lost [3] 9/5 12/1 28/18 incomplete [2] 13/25 18/6 lot [6] 19/9 19/13 19/20 20/11 35/24 38/14 40/1 40/2 41/2 inconsistent [1] 29/6 JUSTICE [1] 1/22 40/1 incorporate [1] 42/11 justification [1] 17/17 **Louisiana** [1] 1/17 indictment [4] 15/4 21/21 22/4 36/24 Lovett [1] 2/13 individual [11] 9/5 10/1 11/14 12/13 K Luis [1] 2/7 21/4 22/19 23/1 23/5 28/10 33/3 40/23 keep [1] 36/23 individual's [1] 40/8 M key [1] 16/20 individually [1] 11/8 keystroke [1] 10/19 mad [2] 21/11 21/11 individuals [8] 6/21 9/22 12/8 20/24 kind [3] 34/25 36/3 40/6 made [12] 7/23 8/1 8/2 8/7 9/21 10/10 24/3 25/21 29/4 37/13 knew [1] 34/9 10/10 12/3 28/11 28/17 29/19 33/5 individuals' [2] 11/23 14/1 KNIGHT [1] 4/9 main [2] 5/20 24/20 information [30] 8/6 9/14 9/23 9/24 knocked [1] 7/21 make [7] 9/16 11/15 13/7 16/23 37/6 10/12 11/5 11/19 13/1 15/12 16/8 18/9 know [49] 37/7 39/15 20/21 21/4 23/13 23/15 25/5 27/22 knowing [2] 26/8 39/25 makes [1] 34/15 28/12 30/7 30/12 31/9 31/25 32/5 **known [1]** 5/19 making [3] 13/16 23/9 32/1 32/12 34/21 35/3 37/12 38/4 38/20 knows [2] 5/15 33/19 Malone [3] 4/13 43/21 43/22 manner [1] 35/23 input [1] 25/16 manually [1] 38/10 instance [2] 36/4 36/18 LANDY [1] 2/4 many [7] 10/6 13/23 13/23 18/15 19/20 instead [1] 24/2 large [1] 13/23 29/5 42/2 instruction [1] 42/12 last [2] 6/23 11/1 Massachusetts [1] 2/17 interacted [1] 9/22 late [1] 42/20 massive [1] 19/11 Interactive [1] 13/22 later [4] 10/21 19/15 31/6 33/13 material [4] 7/20 15/25 20/22 23/20 Internet [6] 7/24 14/10 21/23 22/4 Laura [1] 3/22 **MATLOCK [2]** 2/6 22/6 25/11 35/3 **LAW [6]** 2/8 3/4 3/8 3/12 3/14 3/18 matter [5] 5/10 5/14 5/17 11/18 40/4 **interpret** [1] 37/9 lawsuit [3] 34/22 35/1 36/15 matters [2] 39/7 39/19 interrupt [2] 14/19 14/20 lawyer [1] 36/5 Matthew [2] 2/4 8/22 introduce [1] 30/1 lead [1] 5/8 may [20] 5/21 5/25 13/13 15/21 15/21 investing [1] 20/1 least [5] 6/21 16/24 25/19 38/16 42/20 15/22 15/24 16/4 17/1 18/2 20/20 21/1 investment [1] 39/18 let [11] 5/8 6/6 12/4 13/13 14/17 15/8 25/19 29/6 29/12 29/22 30/4 30/17 investments [1] 39/7 23/10 26/22 32/19 34/19 37/8 31/24 43/3 involved [5] 16/2 21/12 25/15 26/15 let's [16] 10/1 10/2 16/12 21/18 25/15 maybe [4] 16/14 21/21 22/5 24/12 30/20 25/15 25/25 26/2 26/3 26/18 33/3 33/4 Mayra [3] 4/13 43/21 43/22 involving [1] 40/17 33/21 36/23 42/1 43/9 McKinney [2] 3/15 3/23 ironic [1] 29/12

Mr. Liolas [1]-6/12 Hit Liolas [1]-6/12 Mr. Matlock [1] 22/6 Mcase 4:22-cr-00612 **P**ASD Docum Page 49 of 53 me [16] 6/6 11/25 12/4 13/13 14/17 O'BRIEN [1] 2/4 Mr. Rosen [2] 26/14 41/20 15/8 15/8 16/15 23/10 24/13 31/25 O'Neal [1] 30/4 Mr. Rybarczyk [1] 19/7 32/9 32/10 34/19 35/23 40/14 object [1] 40/11 Mr. Rybarczyk's [1] 6/18 mean [22] 15/12 15/15 15/18 15/21 objection [2] 26/4 26/4 **Mr. Williams [17]** 5/6 14/18 16/10 15/22 16/3 17/10 21/10 21/24 22/5 obtain [6] 9/11 9/25 10/8 25/1 28/12 18/19 24/2 25/15 26/14 28/4 30/16 25/19 33/13 34/23 35/9 35/17 36/7 28/24 31/16 32/18 32/25 34/18 40/19 41/20 36/8 36/20 39/21 39/23 40/16 42/24 obtainable [1] 7/20 41/25 42/9 meaning [2] 38/9 38/22 **obtaining** [1] 14/4 Mr. Williams's [3] 28/6 34/14 42/8 meaning in [1] 38/22 obvious [1] 29/10 much [4] 13/2 21/20 34/15 35/18 means [2] 14/12 21/3 **obviously [3]** 5/4 11/13 42/10 multiple [3] 14/3 25/17 37/18 measures [1] 5/5 occurred [1] 18/7 **Murtha** [1] 4/5 meat [2] 35/12 35/22 occurs [2] 18/12 18/12 must [1] 37/10 off [5] 5/8 14/9 18/12 31/7 31/8 mechanical [1] 4/17 my [20] 5/11 6/12 9/1 9/3 10/2 14/5 media [13] 7/23 8/2 9/8 9/9 11/20 offensive [1] 23/4 14/14 15/23 18/22 22/10 22/14 22/16 12/20 12/22 17/4 24/7 24/15 37/16 **OFFICIAL [2]** 4/12 43/23 22/18 23/6 36/9 37/1 38/13 40/15 Oh [2] 15/23 33/14 40/7 40/24 42/21 43/5 meme [3] 20/1 29/22 34/12 okay [4] 6/14 31/16 37/5 41/15 myself [1] 16/2 memes [1] 23/9 once [3] 36/7 38/22 42/11 mentioning [1] 10/9 one [50] ones [6] 8/5 11/15 19/25 24/20 24/21 message [1] 17/3 NAKD [1] 18/23 messages [5] 9/21 39/6 39/11 39/14 34/16 name [2] 42/3 43/7 39/16 online [2] 8/3 9/25 named [1] 41/13 met [1] 8/11 only [9] 15/20 18/7 19/10 20/10 22/6 names [2] 31/14 37/17 Miami [1] 3/9 22/8 24/10 25/20 38/1 narrow [8] 23/19 25/9 28/12 30/13 Michael [1] 4/5 onus [1] 40/3 33/21 37/25 39/4 41/1 open [5] 5/4 5/7 14/22 15/6 29/18 middle [2] 21/1 21/5 narrowed [2] 17/8 27/21 option [7] 38/9 38/9 38/10 39/3 39/23 million [1] 28/17 narrowing [1] 23/18 41/6 41/12 millions [1] 11/1 narrowly [3] 29/14 40/25 41/3 mind [2] 10/21 30/14 options [1] 41/8 narrowly-tailored [1] 29/14 minute [1] 14/17 order [5] 8/15 10/24 38/19 38/20 41/11 **NASDAQ [1]** 18/10 minutes [3] 25/1 26/13 43/8 ordering [2] 36/2 36/16 near [1] 8/10 misleading [1] 29/23 originally [1] 27/14 nearly [1] 27/5 missing [1] 19/20 ostensible [1] 6/23 necessarily [3] 7/13 16/2 32/22 misunderstand [1] 31/13 other [16] 7/19 7/23 9/11 9/22 9/24 need [10] 9/13 11/1 13/10 15/19 17/7 misuse [1] 33/22 10/2 13/22 19/23 20/13 21/13 26/9 19/23 24/12 30/10 38/14 41/25 35/15 36/8 37/18 41/6 41/21 MITCHELL [2] 3/21 4/4 needlessly [1] 43/4 MK [1] 3/22 ought [7] 21/13 23/20 26/10 26/14 needs [2] 13/5 13/6 42/5 42/5 42/17 modified [2] 27/16 29/7 Negating [1] 20/7 money [3] 9/5 12/1 19/2 our [15] 5/16 5/17 6/20 6/21 11/11 negotiated [1] 32/18 month [5] 21/21 25/12 25/13 27/17 12/11 14/13 14/23 19/17 25/6 29/16 never [2] 14/10 36/11 30/18 32/2 38/8 42/24 34/15 New [1] 1/23 months [6] 15/14 19/15 21/19 27/18 out [19] 7/22 14/23 15/9 15/9 19/25 next [1] 37/16 29/13 34/12 20/2 20/8 20/14 21/16 21/19 22/1 Nixon [14] 6/24 7/9 7/10 7/14 7/18 **moon [1]** 10/3 22/16 31/21 31/24 33/3 34/11 35/25 7/19 7/25 8/6 13/4 13/12 24/9 24/11 moot [1] 32/3 36/2 37/8 25/4 33/25 mooted [1] 32/6 outdone [1] 22/21 no [10] 4/10 7/16 7/24 8/3 10/15 15/18 more [5] 11/2 11/14 12/15 21/20 39/4 outside [3] 6/4 33/13 33/17 15/22 26/10 31/15 36/10 outstanding [1] 11/22 Moreover [1] 8/4 nobody [1] 33/19 morning [2] 6/11 6/15 over [10] 6/6 11/7 12/11 12/16 16/5 Noncontroversial [1] 37/20 most [4] 26/9 28/11 40/10 40/10 18/2 20/6 20/6 36/8 38/6 normal [1] 20/14 motion [2] 1/10 38/22 **over-abusive** [1] 16/5 NORMAND [1] 2/16 motions [5] 33/12 33/20 33/20 34/1 overall [1] 26/4 **Northwest [1]** 1/23 35/25 overbroad [2] 7/7 7/11 not [64] mouth [1] 32/5 overburden [2] 21/9 21/13 nothing [3] 7/1 11/3 22/14 Mr. [40] 5/6 5/19 6/6 6/7 6/12 6/18 overburdened [1] 11/15 now [24] 5/4 9/9 15/16 16/9 16/14 6/19 14/18 16/10 16/10 16/16 17/13 overly [1] 11/17 21/1 25/25 26/20 27/17 27/20 28/13 18/19 19/7 22/6 23/10 24/2 24/13 overruling [2] 25/8 26/4 29/12 29/17 29/17 29/21 29/25 30/13 25/15 26/14 26/14 27/4 28/4 28/6 own [4] 22/13 22/20 23/14 29/23 31/22 33/21 34/2 34/19 35/11 36/16 30/16 31/16 32/18 32/25 34/14 34/18 37/22 40/18 40/19 41/20 41/20 41/20 nowhere [1] 21/5 41/25 42/1 42/8 42/9 pages [1] 11/1 number [9] 7/21 27/11 27/14 27/21 pain [1] 42/23 Mr. Carter [2] 6/6 6/7 32/11 35/4 35/5 36/19 39/4 paralegal [1] 14/14 Mr. Constantinescu's [1] 6/19 number A [1] 36/19 Mr. Ford [10] 16/10 16/16 17/13 23/10 parameters [1] 15/11 24/13 27/4 37/22 40/18 41/20 42/1 part [3] 25/3 26/3 26/10 parte [3] 5/10 5/14 5/17 **Mr. Ford's [1]** 5/19

	I	
PCase 4:22-cr-00612 Docum	primary [1] 36/7 prior [2] 7/21 15/14 on 12/21/23 in	recommended [1] 22/6, record [3] 32/20 32/22 43/18
participate [1] 17/2	prior [2] 7/21 15/14 011 12/21/23 111	record [3] 32/26 32/22 43/18
	privacy [3] 10/23 14/5 34/25	recorded [1] 4/17
participating [1] 35/1	private [4] 10/10 10/10 13/9 34/21	records [29] 9/7 11/21 11/23 12/2 12/6
participation [1] 24/6	probably [2] 33/11 36/1	12/7 12/15 12/16 14/24 14/24 15/3
particular [1] 18/21	problem [6] 17/12 19/9 29/10 30/16	17/14 17/19 17/25 18/15 19/3 20/17
parties [6] 8/6 8/8 8/15 16/23 21/2	34/21 40/15	21/18 21/23 23/1 23/21 24/16 25/20
21/10	proceedings [3] 4/17 4/22 43/18	26/10 31/5 31/10 32/16 32/17 34/14
party [2] 8/13 15/2		
pass [1] 31/7	process [2] 21/3 26/19	recover [2] 10/17 19/2
past [2] 11/7 24/23	produce [5] 16/21 17/3 21/3 39/24	reflect [1] 18/11
patently [2] 23/13 25/7	39/24	reflects [1] 18/7
pattern [2] 20/6 33/7	produced [4] 4/17 12/14 17/25 18/18	refused [1] 8/14
PC [1] 2/13	product [3] 15/11 37/4 37/4	refuted [1] 17/18
peace [1] 30/4	productions [1] 17/23	regard [3] 13/25 22/10 29/7
people [18] 10/6 13/23 16/2 19/12	proffered [1] 7/2	regarding [4] 13/17 27/12 39/18 40/12
19/15 20/1 20/11 20/13 21/9 24/21	profit [2] 28/10 32/22	regards [1] 11/21
	prosecutor [1] 43/5	reinforcement [1] 27/3
25/17 34/10 34/22 34/25 36/14 38/5	protective [4] 10/24 38/19 38/20 41/11	related [1] 5/10
40/1 41/22	prove [3] 10/6 23/3 25/23	release [1] 38/20
people's [2] 10/9 19/24	provided [2] 18/17 24/16	relevance [3] 15/6 33/6 34/2
perceived [1] 39/10	proving [1] 19/17	relevancy [1] 8/8
percent [3] 17/22 20/2 20/3	public [2] 34/23 37/12	relevant [18] 12/7 12/18 13/4 15/3
period [11] 15/3 16/7 17/21 19/14		15/15 15/18 16/7 17/5 17/14 17/21
21/18 23/8 27/16 30/22 32/17 34/18	publicly [1] 38/22	
35/10	pumping [1] 29/23	19/17 19/25 20/18 33/13 34/16 37/7
periods [6] 12/12 12/17 17/15 29/15	purchase [1] 9/3	39/10 40/14
30/20 34/5	purchasing [1] 22/11	relied [2] 29/21 32/12
permits [1] 8/15	purely [1] 13/6	rely [1] 36/22
PERRY [1] 2/6	push [1] 40/2	relying [1] 20/5
person [1] 17/21	put [7] 8/7 15/13 23/22 26/18 35/10	remaining [1] 30/18
1.	36/12 36/13	repeat [1] 16/2
personally [1] 6/8	puts [1] 40/3	reporter [3] 4/12 19/6 43/23
pertain [2] 39/6 39/16		reports [2] 33/16 34/7
phone [2] 11/16 38/12	Q	represent [1] 18/13
phones [1] 18/16	quash [1] 5/23	representative [2] 16/18 23/7
pile [1] 11/1	Quentin [1] 2/12	representatives [1] 41/21
PJ [1] 2/6	question [4] 12/10 13/21 29/21 32/1	request [22] 5/19 7/17 8/16 13/5 13/16
place [2] 10/24 38/19	questions [1] 8/17	14/23 15/7 18/3 18/10 26/3 27/7 27/18
placed [2] 28/10 28/20	quickly [1] 27/7	
plan [1] 43/9		30/2 32/7 32/20 37/17 37/21 37/24
point [3] 9/4 30/23 31/6	quote [2] 20/11 20/18	37/25 39/4 40/11 42/14
points [1] 28/7	quote/unquote [2] 20/11 20/18	requested [1] 18/9
pop [1] 20/2	R	requesting [2] 30/25 39/5
position [3] 22/16 23/24 25/6		requests [16] 7/5 7/21 8/10 8/18 8/19
possible [3] 9/17 10/14 42/18	rabbit [1] 29/20	9/10 12/20 14/23 15/19 17/7 20/25
post [6] 10/1 11/7 11/9 11/24 12/10	raised [1] 18/6	24/3 25/10 27/6 32/7 33/4
17/4	raises [1] 14/21	require [3] 21/4 29/25 40/23
posted [5] 9/1 10/14 15/15 15/17	Ranch [1] 2/5	required [2] 7/14 7/25
·	rather [4] 15/24 29/7 41/6 42/15	requires [2] 13/5 13/12
15/23	rating [1] 22/17	requiring [1] 38/5
potential [2] 8/9 29/19	reached [2] 23/2 27/5	resolution [2] 27/5 30/15
potentially [1] 11/10	read [4] 9/2 11/8 11/24 39/15	resolve [1] 37/14
practical [1] 39/12	reading [2] 32/14 35/25	resolves [2] 37/15 41/17
preclude [1] 30/8	reads [1] 40/5	respect [1] 30/19
precluded [3] 7/9 7/18 33/25	reality [1] 11/6	respond [2] 8/21 21/6
prediction [1] 22/15	realize [1] 43/8	response [1] 8/12
preliminary [1] 12/11	realizing [1] 42/18	responses [1] 38/16
premise [1] 17/18	really [5] 9/6 11/18 13/24 14/5 38/25	
presence [1] 6/4	ream [1] 20/25	responsible [1] 18/23
present [1] 41/8	reams [2] 7/15 25/4	responsive [1] 38/11
presentation [1] 14/13	reason [5] 5/13 5/13 10/8 29/1 32/24	rest [2] 17/18 30/4
presentations [1] 38/7		restitution [1] 19/2
presented [1] 20/19	reasonable [3] 7/20 23/14 26/2	result [1] 23/25
press [1] 16/20	reasonably [1] 42/18	resumed [1] 27/1
presume [1] 38/23	reasons [1] 10/8	retread [1] 36/6
pretty [1] 36/10	receive [1] 10/16	return [3] 42/8 42/15 42/20
previously [1] 6/21	received [3] 8/13 13/14 17/14	rewritten [1] 42/11
price [2] 22/15 22/17	recessed [1] 26/25	Reyes [1] 2/7
	recognizing [1] 42/7	Rhonda [1] 26/22
prices [1] 23/9	recommendations [1] 38/8	ridiculous [1] 23/16
prices and [1] 23/9		]
		1

select [1] 41/14 Filed on 12/21/23 in **soon [2]** 31/25 42/17 **sopnisticated [2]** 20/24 21/3 R<sub>Case 4:22-cr-00612</sub> Docum right [29] 5/4 5/10 8/25 10/2 10/4 12/5 sophistication [1] 21/5 self-authenticating [1] 28/9 14/2 14/7 15/25 16/16 16/17 16/22 sorry [2] 27/12 37/22 sell [3] 12/1 19/13 20/5 18/22 19/13 23/21 23/23 24/23 26/20 selling [3] 9/4 20/15 34/10 sort [7] 9/23 10/24 28/16 29/2 33/11 30/13 33/3 34/2 34/25 35/2 35/11 send [3] 10/19 11/8 42/2 33/24 38/8 35/12 36/17 36/17 41/19 43/11 sending [1] 11/10 **sorts [1]** 9/10 **RMR [2]** 4/13 43/22 sense [1] 34/15 sound [1] 33/23 road [3] 2/5 3/8 25/18 sounds [1] 42/4 sensibly [1] 34/4 rolling [1] 26/19 South [3] 2/5 3/12 3/18 sensitive [2] 34/21 38/25 room [2] 4/14 33/19 **SOUTHERN [1]** 1/1 sent [1] 11/6 Rosen [4] 2/15 19/7 26/14 41/20 serious [2] 34/24 42/25 specific [23] 8/5 8/7 8/17 8/19 9/24 **Ross [1]** 4/6 served [3] 6/20 8/24 20/16 13/5 13/16 13/17 13/18 18/4 18/8 round [1] 31/24 set [2] 25/16 37/8 21/23 21/23 21/24 22/3 28/14 29/8 route [1] 16/24 sets [2] 14/24 25/17 29/15 29/15 34/2 34/16 39/13 40/22 **routinely [1]** 7/18 specifically [4] 11/14 25/9 29/20 32/8 setting [1] 26/1 rule [3] 8/15 21/17 34/3 seven [3] 7/5 27/6 40/22 speculating [1] 13/8 Rule 17 [2] 8/15 34/3 share [2] 8/14 42/12 speculative [1] 13/7 ruling [3] 30/18 31/4 31/19 **she [1]** 14/15 **spent [1]** 19/9 run [1] 38/3 sheet [5] 13/14 18/2 18/10 19/20 20/12 spoke [1] 38/12 Rusk [1] 4/14 sheets [8] 12/9 13/14 13/15 13/24 spoken [1] 11/16 Ryan [1] 30/4 17/20 18/5 19/9 19/18 spring [1] 26/1 **RYBARCZYK [2]** 2/11 19/7 stake [1] 17/2 Shepherd [2] 3/12 3/18 **Rybarczyk's [1]** 6/18 stand [6] 11/24 15/13 22/25 23/22 shift [2] 6/6 29/13 should [11] 10/4 11/22 15/9 15/9 30/7 35/14 standard [4] 6/24 25/2 25/3 34/3 15/10 15/16 16/15 17/1 20/22 29/1 said [16] 8/3 11/6 15/13 16/1 16/1 17/6 39/11 **start [1]** 20/12 21/10 23/20 30/17 37/3 38/1 38/5 show [9] 13/10 28/9 28/15 28/16 28/19 state [1] 6/4 38/13 38/15 39/25 41/2 statements [5] 8/1 8/4 8/4 29/24 32/12 29/5 32/12 32/16 32/22 sales [2] 12/3 28/21 showing [3] 7/24 8/3 13/7 **STATES [8]** 1/1 1/4 1/11 1/16 1/22 5/2 same [8] 10/7 14/3 18/12 20/6 28/24 **showings** [1] 8/7 6/12 6/16 30/6 34/18 36/6 side [6] 11/20 12/25 26/8 30/5 37/4 Stay [1] 12/5 satisfies [1] 7/2 38/8 **STEFAN [1]** 3/6 **satisfy [2]** 7/1 7/3 sides [7] 26/10 35/21 37/5 40/3 42/21 stenography [1] 4/17 saw [1] 29/8 42/23 43/9 step [3] 17/4 19/4 21/7 say [19] 10/1 11/24 14/8 14/10 18/22 sift [1] 11/12 still [1] 19/19 21/19 23/22 24/13 24/25 25/12 27/24 stock [24] 9/2 9/3 9/3 10/3 13/15 significantly [1] 27/21 32/10 34/11 34/24 36/9 36/11 37/10 simple [1] 24/18 13/18 14/3 15/14 18/5 18/21 22/7 40/1 43/6 22/10 22/11 22/19 22/20 23/2 27/19 simplest [1] 28/11 saying [12] 10/2 11/16 11/22 12/25 Sina [2] 3/14 3/14 28/17 29/22 32/23 39/7 39/13 39/17 13/1 16/16 17/13 22/19 23/25 33/6 since [1] 7/2 41/13 39/11 39/25 single [5] 11/9 17/3 17/4 17/21 29/18 stocks [27] 9/21 9/23 10/7 12/10 16/7 says [4] 22/6 22/23 39/22 40/20 sir [1] 31/19 17/9 17/10 17/21 19/19 19/25 20/1 scenario [1] 26/12 sit [7] 16/11 16/11 17/8 21/14 25/9 20/2 20/10 20/11 20/14 22/3 22/4 scheduled [1] 6/7 26/13 36/10 25/12 29/5 32/13 34/8 34/9 34/12 **scope [2]** 31/20 38/1 sitting [1] 42/18 39/19 40/16 40/22 42/5 Scott [2] 1/21 6/11 six [4] 21/21 27/25 37/19 40/22 stop [1] 14/17 screenshot [3] 11/9 14/9 14/15 six weeks [1] 21/21 **Stored [1]** 9/14 screenshots [2] 18/16 18/25 Sixth [1] 2/17 street [4] 2/16 3/15 3/23 26/8 seal [2] 5/10 5/18 slice [1] 19/14 strict [1] 6/24 search [1] 7/24 small [1] 19/14 strikes [1] 40/14 searches [1] 7/22 strong [2] 22/14 22/17 smoke [1] 15/22 **SEC [6]** 12/9 13/16 13/19 18/4 18/8 stuff [12] 11/12 14/4 16/21 24/25 so [64] social [13] 7/23 8/2 9/8 9/9 11/19 27/19 29/19 30/1 37/6 38/11 38/24 second [2] 38/17 41/12 12/20 12/22 17/4 24/7 24/15 37/16 38/25 40/4 securities [1] 22/11 40/7 40/24 subject [2] 5/25 28/22 see [19] 12/1 12/12 12/19 14/4 15/10 sold [5] 9/3 12/13 19/15 19/16 23/1 **submission** [1] 32/11 19/3 19/6 21/16 22/6 23/21 26/21 29/2 solution [1] 33/9 submit [1] 9/20 29/10 34/23 35/3 36/18 39/12 40/19 some [23] 5/5 6/9 7/4 8/13 8/18 9/3 subpoena [10] 5/17 6/18 8/18 11/6 42/2 12/14 13/14 13/15 18/12 18/16 19/1 20/16 28/6 31/17 32/4 40/5 42/8 seeing [2] 12/9 20/13 19/15 20/13 22/5 23/18 29/4 29/19 subpoenaed [1] 8/14 seek [3] 7/5 7/12 8/4 31/5 36/12 36/13 37/6 38/23 subpoenas [23] 6/9 6/19 6/21 6/25 7/1 seeking [13] 5/22 5/23 8/6 9/6 12/16 somebody [4] 10/2 15/13 25/22 39/13 8/24 16/12 17/13 17/17 20/23 21/2 12/21 18/22 27/8 27/11 27/14 28/22 something [12] 9/1 10/14 10/20 14/9 21/6 23/18 24/1 30/25 31/7 31/21 32/24 38/1 15/8 16/12 20/8 29/8 34/13 34/19 31/24 34/3 41/22 41/23 42/2 42/8 seems [2] 20/21 39/17 35/18 41/24 subsequent [1] 10/22 seen [4] 14/10 29/3 34/7 42/8 somewhere [1] 23/15 **substance** [1] 5/18 sees [1] 10/2

Docum 25/11 25/21 25/23 25/24 25/25 27/13 29/4 31/22 34/11 35/2 35/4 38/6 39/24 trade [21] 11/21 12/1 13/17 13/20 14/1 17/14 18/14 23/1 24/16 26/11 28/14 **S**Case 4:22-cr-00612 **substantive** [1] 14/25 39/24 42/1 42/15 42/22 29/18 30/9 30/10 30/20 30/22 33/5 substantively [1] 5/23 themselves [2] 15/21 17/17 33/14 33/15 33/16 35/2 such [3] 8/4 9/25 39/6 then [24] 6/1 9/3 9/5 9/19 10/15 10/15 traded [11] 12/9 12/13 13/21 13/22 **sufficient [1]** 32/16 10/20 10/22 11/8 14/15 15/9 18/17 17/21 18/21 26/11 28/17 28/18 29/4 suggest [3] 23/10 31/21 38/17 22/15 28/2 28/10 28/18 29/25 31/8 39/10 suggested [1] 21/8 31/9 31/16 31/22 31/23 33/12 33/21 traders [1] 20/14 suggesting [2] 16/9 17/8 theoretically [1] 31/5 trades [4] 19/1 28/10 28/20 35/17 suggestions [1] 38/8 theory [5] 8/25 12/19 13/6 31/5 34/2 **TradeZero [1]** 24/21 Suite [6] 1/17 2/9 3/9 3/15 3/23 4/6 there [23] 7/15 7/15 7/16 9/10 10/6 trading [61] **summaries** [1] 28/19 13/5 14/6 14/8 14/23 15/21 15/21 trading in [1] 15/14 summary [2] 28/16 32/21 16/17 19/10 24/14 26/11 27/6 31/24 transcript [3] 1/10 4/17 43/17 **Sundays [1]** 17/20 32/23 35/15 36/6 37/14 38/18 41/24 transcription [1] 4/17 **supportive [1]** 36/21 there's [13] 7/15 9/17 9/24 10/24 14/5 trial [13] 6/25 7/21 14/7 20/19 26/1 **supposed [1]** 22/13 16/17 16/20 18/6 19/21 26/10 30/13 26/8 32/2 33/18 38/23 42/16 42/24 supposedly [3] 9/5 14/9 22/23 36/18 40/2 43/2 43/3 Supreme [1] 6/24 these [63] tried [6] 7/24 8/3 9/9 9/16 13/10 35/9 sure [5] 11/15 32/1 32/16 40/1 41/20 they [155] **Trojan [1]** 17/13 surprise [1] 26/9 thing [12] 10/24 11/1 19/23 26/5 27/17 true [1] 14/20 surprises [1] 26/11 28/5 28/24 35/12 35/22 37/16 38/17 try [8] 10/6 16/15 35/5 35/17 35/22 suspect [2] 22/25 29/3 41/10 35/24 41/1 42/17 symbol [1] 18/21 things [2] 7/16 10/13 trying [8] 11/4 15/1 15/3 21/9 25/23 30/13 34/3 35/25 think [50] thinking [1] 25/18 turned [2] 12/11 12/15 table [2] 17/2 26/14 third [4] 15/2 16/23 21/2 21/10 tweet [2] 22/5 29/8 tack [1] 40/21 this [115] tweeted [1] 20/8 tailor [1] 16/12 tweeting [6] 21/25 22/13 22/20 23/9 Thomas [1] 1/16 tailored [4] 15/19 29/14 40/25 41/3 those [6] 7/1 7/2 15/3 23/1 32/9 42/8 34/8 35/16 take [16] 5/11 11/10 11/23 15/22 16/11 though [1] 36/23 tweets [6] 9/20 21/24 22/16 22/17 16/24 21/15 26/13 30/7 30/16 31/8 thought [9] 5/13 5/19 22/19 22/20 22/22 24/6 33/3 35/14 38/14 40/3 43/3 28/2 31/12 31/13 35/24 42/21 Twenty [1] 2/17 talk [5] 21/15 33/4 41/25 41/25 41/25 thousands [1] 19/12 Twenty-Sixth [1] 2/17 talked [3] 25/11 34/18 42/4 threatening [1] 37/11 twice [1] 18/1 talking [10] 10/6 18/3 18/14 18/18 three [6] 15/14 21/19 22/15 25/3 27/18 Twitter [25] 7/13 9/1 9/10 9/18 9/19 18/19 20/1 27/20 34/13 35/10 39/2 10/1 10/9 10/12 10/15 10/18 11/24 31/24 target [1] 22/18 12/23 15/17 17/3 17/4 23/8 24/17 27/8 three months [3] 15/14 21/19 27/18 targeted [1] 11/6 three-part [1] 25/3 37/18 37/19 37/21 37/24 39/3 40/9 **Tate [1]** 2/12 through [15] 8/19 11/7 11/11 11/12 41/9 tax [1] 38/5 12/9 20/12 29/23 34/17 38/10 38/25 Twitter's [1] 9/13 TD [7] 13/19 13/20 18/8 24/20 27/24 two [25] 9/6 9/8 9/10 10/13 10/21 11/8 39/15 39/22 40/4 41/14 42/22 27/24 42/2 throughout [1] 35/24 16/17 17/19 19/10 19/11 19/21 20/2 tech [1] 16/22 throwing [2] 21/19 36/2 20/10 21/19 22/22 27/22 31/24 35/5 technology [1] 39/25 tick [1] 8/19 35/19 38/7 38/7 38/10 41/8 42/19 tell [5] 16/15 16/21 27/25 32/10 43/5 ticker [4] 22/10 32/23 39/14 39/17 42/24 ten [2] 20/5 25/1 tickers [11] 12/12 12/17 13/15 16/6 two days [1] 19/11 ten minutes [1] 25/1 18/5 19/20 27/19 29/15 30/21 34/4 two weeks [2] 10/21 42/19 tens [2] 11/1 19/11 41/14 two years [1] 11/8 test [1] 25/3 tickers and [1] 12/12 type [1] 7/17 testify [3] 14/14 28/24 34/7 time [30] 9/25 11/7 11/9 12/2 12/12 types [1] 27/22 TEXAS [13] 1/1 1/5 1/18 2/5 2/9 2/14 12/17 12/25 13/17 15/3 16/7 17/14 typically [1] 24/21 3/5 3/13 3/16 3/19 3/24 4/7 4/15 19/9 19/14 21/18 23/8 25/10 25/11 texting [1] 40/18 26/12 27/16 29/15 30/9 30/19 30/22 than [8] 5/23 10/2 12/21 15/24 21/20 U.S [2] 4/14 6/5 32/17 34/5 34/18 35/9 36/6 36/7 43/2 29/7 32/21 42/15 ultimately [3] 15/10 15/24 19/2 timely [2] 31/22 32/1 Thank [9] 8/22 25/14 26/23 26/24 under [10] 5/10 5/18 7/14 7/18 7/25 times [2] 9/21 20/5 41/16 41/18 43/11 43/12 43/13 25/1 25/4 31/5 33/25 34/3 timing [1] 35/10 that's [35] 7/9 7/17 11/19 12/2 13/11 today [3] 5/12 31/19 42/11 underlying [1] 12/14 14/6 14/20 15/15 15/18 15/20 16/13 underscore [1] 17/16 **TOM [1]** 3/10 16/19 17/5 17/7 17/10 20/16 21/8 22/1 understand [8] 5/6 16/14 23/24 23/25 ton [1] 7/16 23/2 24/18 27/18 28/4 28/16 28/21 26/3 33/5 33/6 41/10 tongue [1] 37/1 31/12 31/15 32/14 32/15 33/24 36/10 understands [1] 25/6 too [7] 13/2 15/11 21/7 26/15 30/3 37/20 39/2 40/21 41/2 43/8 35/6 35/18 **Understood** [1] 25/14 their [48] took [5] 14/9 14/15 17/4 18/16 19/1 UNITED [8] 1/1 1/4 1/11 1/16 1/22 5/2 them [41] 7/25 9/2 9/4 9/4 10/5 11/6 topic [1] 30/15 6/12 6/16 12/14 13/15 15/1 15/2 15/24 15/24 **Unless [1]** 8/17 total [1] 25/1 16/24 17/25 17/25 18/1 18/17 19/2 unliked [1] 10/21 totally [1] 32/3 21/12 21/14 23/17 23/22 24/4 25/10

U<sub>Case 4:22-cr-00612</sub> Docum unliking [1] 10/22 unquote [2] 20/11 20/18 until [1] 34/1 up [15] 5/12 11/24 14/7 15/13 15/24 18/1 24/23 25/16 25/23 25/23 28/16 35/11 36/6 36/9 38/3 upon [2] 31/19 32/12 us [22] 8/14 9/15 9/20 10/19 10/25 11/4 11/5 11/8 11/10 11/19 12/14 13/24 17/24 18/18 20/8 27/25 29/25 30/20 31/9 39/3 41/10 41/14 usable [1] 32/2 use [3] 13/23 24/21 35/15 used [3] 27/23 27/24 27/24 useful [1] 38/21 using [1] 24/19

various [2] 16/15 35/15 vendor [1] 11/12 versa [1] 43/1 versus [3] 1/5 5/2 11/10 very [6] 19/8 24/16 24/23 29/22 34/5 40/25 viable [2] 12/19 13/6 vice [1] 43/1 victim [8] 6/22 7/23 15/1 16/18 16/18 17/3 22/13 29/19 victims [9] 7/8 14/25 20/12 20/18 23/22 30/25 31/9 31/13 34/6 victims and [1] 30/25 victims' [1] 35/7 view [1] 11/17

## W

wait [2] 14/13 34/1 waived [1] 35/1 **WALKER [2]** 3/22 4/5 want [33] 5/7 7/22 8/1 11/23 12/22 14/1 14/18 15/10 16/5 16/5 19/3 21/14 21/14 25/9 25/17 27/22 27/22 29/18 29/21 32/19 32/25 33/12 33/14 34/23 35/3 37/9 37/17 39/1 39/22 40/5 41/2 41/22 41/23 wanted [1] 5/11 wants [3] 6/10 8/21 36/9 warning [1] 35/21 warrant [1] 9/14 was [19] 5/14 5/17 5/20 18/23 22/12 22/16 22/19 22/20 22/21 23/7 23/8 28/21 29/6 33/7 36/19 36/19 36/20 38/17 43/6 Washington [1] 1/23 way [25] 9/12 11/5 12/8 13/3 15/20 16/17 16/20 19/19 21/9 21/13 22/2

16/17 16/20 19/19 21/9 21/13 22/2 23/7 24/14 28/12 30/3 30/13 31/22 32/1 38/4 39/1 40/2 40/3 41/6 42/4 42/24

ways [3] 16/15 16/17 17/19

we [205] we're [9] 14/13 15/2 18/19 23/16 35/5 35/11 37/7 38/15 39/5

website [1] 9/13 week [1] 22/22

weeks [6] 10/21 11/10 11/10 19/15 21/21 42/19

weigh 11 19/8 12/16 12/24 14/5 16/19 18/19 24/12 25/24 28/4 29/22 31/25 33/18

well-known [1] 5/19 went [2] 13/19 18/2

were [15] 5/23 8/7 10/6 11/4 20/7 20/11 27/14 27/23 28/20 28/22 29/13 31/12 31/13 34/10 43/8

what [65]

what's [1] 43/6

**whatever [6]** 21/24 21/24 21/25 31/20 38/14 42/3

when [19] 9/1 10/18 11/9 12/13 12/13 12/13 20/4 20/4 20/7 20/16 22/25 24/25 28/22 30/7 34/2 34/24 38/22 41/9 43/6

where [3] 12/19 27/4 37/22 whereas [1] 32/23

wherewithal [1] 21/6

**whether [6]** 5/14 13/21 21/11 28/20 35/7 39/16

which [26] 5/19 7/6 7/9 7/14 8/5 8/14 9/7 13/4 14/7 14/12 16/22 16/22 17/20 18/11 18/21 24/9 27/7 29/19 31/6 32/5 32/15 32/21 34/16 35/24 38/17 40/12

while [4] 15/6 16/9 21/14 32/13 whistleblower [1] 6/23

who [12] 6/10 8/21 17/21 19/6 20/24 21/3 21/4 22/20 27/25 28/24 29/4 31/17

whoever [1] 14/14 whole [2] 27/17 41/10

whom [1] 6/22

why [16] 7/4 7/11 16/10 16/22 16/23 17/7 19/5 19/16 19/16 20/16 21/8 26/13 31/6 33/21 33/23 34/14 will [33] 5/8 6/12 8/15 10/18 10/21 14/21 16/1 16/21 19/1 21/17 23/1

14/21 16/1 16/21 19/1 21/17 23/1 24/22 24/23 27/7 27/16 28/8 28/9 28/15 28/19 28/24 30/12 31/6 32/22 33/20 35/10 35/15 37/8 38/1 38/18 41/9 41/12 42/12 43/5

**Williams [18]** 2/12 5/6 14/18 16/10 18/19 24/2 25/15 26/14 28/4 30/16 31/16 32/18 32/25 34/18 40/19 41/20 41/25 42/9

Williams's [3] 28/6 34/14 42/8 willing [1] 11/13

wind [1] 14/7

window [1] 21/22

within [3] 15/11 25/12 37/11

without [1] 13/8

witness [15] 6/22 9/2 13/21 14/16 19/1 22/9 22/13 33/15 36/11 36/18 39/15 39/21 39/23 41/5 41/6

witness's [1] 11/2

witnesses [21] 6/22 8/7 8/24 9/7 9/17 11/5 11/14 14/8 15/19 17/23 17/24 18/15 19/13 24/11 25/1 28/24 29/21 36/5 36/14 38/9 38/12

witnesses's [2] 7/23 35/15

word [1] 35/15

work [6] 11/13 15/9 15/9 15/10 21/16 42/24

worry [1] 25/22

would [27] 6/5 8/16 9/20 9/20 10/11

10/15 11/9 12/1 15/5 16/3 18/11 18/24 22/7 23/5 28/23 29/2 29/5 30/20 30/21 31/20 32/3 38/21 39/2 39/17 41/5 42/20 43/6

wouldn't [4] 16/23 16/23 34/8 34/9 write [2] 33/19 33/22 wrong [1] 18/1 wrongful [1] 23/7

## Y

y'all [9] 15/9 17/8 21/14 21/16 24/12 25/9 26/2 26/13 35/11
yeah [2] 15/23 28/4
year [1] 7/6
years [3] 11/8 24/23 30/11
Yes [5] 6/11 14/19 26/16 31/19 37/15
yet [1] 34/12
Yifei [1] 1/22
York [1] 1/23
you [142]
you're [1] 5/9
you-know-what [1] 42/23
your [45]
Yours [1] 5/25
yourself [1] 19/5

## 7

Zachary [1] 3/4 Zadeh [2] 3/14 3/14 Zheng [1] 1/22